### Clustered coloring for old graph coloring conjectures

#### Chun-Hung Liu

Texas A&M University

August 20, 2019

Chun-Hung Liu (Texas A&M) Clustered coloring for old graph coloring conj€

A graph G is *properly k-colorable* if the vertices of G can be colored with k colors such that every pair of adjacent vertices receive different colors.

A graph G is *properly k-colorable* if the vertices of G can be colored with k colors such that every pair of adjacent vertices receive different colors.

*G* is properly *t*-colorable  $\Rightarrow$  *G* has no  $K_{t+1}$  subgraph.

A graph G is *properly k-colorable* if the vertices of G can be colored with k colors such that every pair of adjacent vertices receive different colors.

*G* is properly *t*-colorable  $\Rightarrow$  *G* has no  $K_{t+1}$  subgraph.

**Question:** Is G properly t-colorable if G has no  $K_{t+1}$  subgraph?

A graph G is *properly k-colorable* if the vertices of G can be colored with k colors such that every pair of adjacent vertices receive different colors.

*G* is properly *t*-colorable  $\Rightarrow$  *G* has no  $K_{t+1}$  subgraph.

**Question:** Is G properly *t*-colorable if G has no  $K_{t+1}$  subgraph? **Answer:** No!

**Theorem:** (Erdős) For every graph H that contains a cycle and every  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a non-properly *t*-colorable graph with no H subgraph.

A graph G is *properly k-colorable* if the vertices of G can be colored with k colors such that every pair of adjacent vertices receive different colors.

*G* is properly *t*-colorable  $\Rightarrow$  *G* has no  $K_{t+1}$  subgraph.

**Question:** Is G properly *t*-colorable if G has no  $K_{t+1}$  subgraph? **Answer:** No!

**Theorem:** (Erdős) For every graph H that contains a cycle and every  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a non-properly *t*-colorable graph with no H subgraph.

More structures?

A graph is a *planar graph* if it can be drawn in the plane without edge-crossing.

Four Color Theorem: Every planar graph is properly 4-colorable.

A graph G contains another graph H as a *minor* if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by repeatedly contracting edges.

**Hadwiger's conjecture:** Every  $K_{t+1}$ -minor free graph is properly *t*-colorable.

A graph G contains another graph H as a *minor* if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by repeatedly contracting edges.

**Hadwiger's conjecture:** Every  $K_{t+1}$ -minor free graph is properly *t*-colorable.

- (Hadwiger): True for  $t \leq 3$ .
- (Wagner): Equivalent with the Four Color Theorem for t = 4.
- (Robertson, Seymour, Thomas): True for t = 5.
- Open for  $t \geq 6$ .
- (Kostochka; Thomason:)  $O(t\sqrt{\log t})$  colors suffice.

A graph G contains another graph H as a *topological minor* if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by repeatedly contracting edges incident with vertices of degree two.

**Hajós' conjecture:** Every  $K_{t+1}$ -topological minor free graph is properly *t*-colorable.

A graph G contains another graph H as a *topological minor* if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by repeatedly contracting edges incident with vertices of degree two.

**Hajós' conjecture:** Every  $K_{t+1}$ -topological minor free graph is properly *t*-colorable.

- (Dirac): True for  $t \leq 3$ .
- (Catlin): False for  $t \ge 6$ .
- Open for  $t \in \{4, 5\}$ .
- (Erdős, Fajtlowicz): Need  $\Omega(t^2/\log t)$  colors.
- (Bollobás, Thomason; Komlós, Szemerédi):  $O(t^2)$  colors suffice.

A graph G contains another graph H as an *odd minor* if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by contracting all edges in an edge-cut.

**Gerards-Seymour Conjecture:** Every odd  $K_{t+1}$ -minor free graph is properly *t*-colorable.

A graph G contains another graph H as an *odd minor* if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by contracting all edges in an edge-cut.

**Gerards-Seymour Conjecture:** Every odd  $K_{t+1}$ -minor free graph is properly *t*-colorable.

- (Catlin): True for  $t \leq 3$ .
- (Guenin): True for  $t \leq 4$ .
- Open for  $t \geq 5$ .
- (Geelen, Gerards, Reed, Seymour, Vetta):  $O(t\sqrt{\log t})$  colors suffice.

A graph G is properly k-colorable if and only if the vertices of G can be colored with k colors such that every monochromatic component contains only 1 vertex.

A graph G is properly k-colorable if and only if the vertices of G can be colored with k colors such that every monochromatic component contains only 1 vertex.

A class  $\mathcal{F}$  of graphs is *clustered k-colorable* if there exists an integer N such that for every  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ , the vertices of G can be colored with k colors such that every monochromatic component contains at most N vertices.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}$  be the set of graphs such that every *k*-coloring leads to a monochromatic component on more than *N* vertices.

A class  $\mathcal{F}$  of graph is clustered *k*-colorable  $\Leftrightarrow$  there exists *N* such that  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}_{k,n} = \emptyset$  for every  $n \ge N$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}$  be the set of graphs such that every *k*-coloring leads to a monochromatic component on more than *N* vertices.

A class  $\mathcal{F}$  of graph is clustered *k*-colorable  $\Leftrightarrow$  there exists *N* such that  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}_{k,n} = \emptyset$  for every  $n \ge N$ .

 $\mathcal{F}_{1,\textit{N}}$  is the set of graphs that contain a component on at least N+1 vertices.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{k,N}$  be the set of graphs such that every *k*-coloring leads to a monochromatic component on more than *N* vertices.

A class  $\mathcal{F}$  of graph is clustered *k*-colorable  $\Leftrightarrow$  there exists *N* such that  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}_{k,n} = \emptyset$  for every  $n \ge N$ .

 $\mathcal{F}_{1,\textit{N}}$  is the set of graphs that contain a component on at least N+1 vertices.

#### Standard construction:

- Let  $H \in \mathcal{F}_{k,N}$ .
- Let G be the graph obtained from a union of N disjoint copies of H by adding a new vertex v adjacent to all other vertices.
- Then  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{k+1,N}$ .

**Hex Lemma:** Any 2-coloring of the  $N \times N$  triangular grid leads to a monochromatic path on N vertices.

**Hex Lemma:** Any 2-coloring of the  $N \times N$  triangular grid leads to a monochromatic path on N vertices.

**Corollary:** Let  $q \ge 6$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set of graphs of maximum degree at most q and contains all planar graphs, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is not clustered 2-colorable.

**Hex Lemma:** Any 2-coloring of the  $N \times N$  triangular grid leads to a monochromatic path on N vertices.

**Corollary:** Let  $q \ge 6$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set of graphs of maximum degree at most q and contains all planar graphs, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is not clustered 2-colorable.

**Theorem:** (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan) For any q and any planar graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 2-colorable.

# Minor closed families of bounded maximum degree graphs

#### Theorem:

(Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan): For any q and any planar graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 2-colorable.

- (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan): For any q and any planar graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 2-colorable.
- (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan): For any q and any graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 4-colorable.

- (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan): For any q and any planar graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 2-colorable.
- (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan): For any q and any graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 4-colorable.
- (Esperet, Joret): For any q and any surface Σ, the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q embeddable in Σ is clustered 3-colorable.

- (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan): For any q and any planar graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 2-colorable.
- (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan): For any q and any graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 4-colorable.
- (Esperet, Joret): For any q and any surface Σ, the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q embeddable in Σ is clustered 3-colorable.
- (L., Oum): For any q and any graph H, the class of odd H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 3-colorable.

**Theorem:** (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan) For any q and any planar graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 2-colorable.

**Theorem:** (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan) For any q and any planar graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 2-colorable.

A class  $\mathcal{F}$  of graphs is clustered *k*-choosable if there exists an integer *N* such that for every list-assignment  $L = (L_v : v \in V(G))$  of any graph  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $|L(v)| \ge k$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ , there exists a coloring *f* such that  $f(v) \in L_v$  for every  $v \in V(G)$  such that every monochromatic component contains at most *N* vertices.

**Theorem:** (L.) For any q and any planar graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 2-choosable.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

### Tree-decomposition

 $(T, \mathcal{X})$  is a *tree-decomposition* of G if the following hold.

- T is a tree, and  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t : t \in V(T)\}$ , where each  $X_t$  is a subset of V(G) and  $\bigcup_{t \in V(T)} X_t = V(G)$ .
- For every edge of G, its both ends are in some  $X_t$ .
- For every vertex v of G, the subgraph of T induced by  $\{t \in V(T) : v \in X_t\}$  is connected.

The *width* of  $(T, \mathcal{X})$  is  $\max_{t \in V(T)} |X_t| - 1$ .

The *tree-width* of a graph is the minimum width of its tree-decompositions.

### Tree-decomposition

 $(T, \mathcal{X})$  is a *tree-decomposition* of G if the following hold.

- T is a tree, and  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t : t \in V(T)\}$ , where each  $X_t$  is a subset of V(G) and  $\bigcup_{t \in V(T)} X_t = V(G)$ .
- For every edge of G, its both ends are in some  $X_t$ .
- For every vertex v of G, the subgraph of T induced by  $\{t \in V(T) : v \in X_t\}$  is connected.

The *width* of  $(T, \mathcal{X})$  is  $\max_{t \in V(T)} |X_t| - 1$ .

The *tree-width* of a graph is the minimum width of its tree-decompositions.

**Theorem (L.):** For any q, w, the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q and tree-width most w is clustered 2-choosable.

・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

11 / 25

**Theorem:** (Esperet, Joret) For any q and any surface  $\Sigma$ , the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q embeddable in  $\Sigma$  is clustered 3-colorable.

**Theorem:** (Esperet, Joret) For any q and any surface  $\Sigma$ , the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q embeddable in  $\Sigma$  is clustered 3-colorable.

A *layering* of a graph G is an ordered partition  $(V_1, V_2, ...)$  of V(G) such that for every edge e of G, there exists i such that either both ends of e are contained in  $V_i$ , or e is between  $V_i$  and  $V_{i+1}$ .

**Theorem:** (Esperet, Joret) For any q and any surface  $\Sigma$ , the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q embeddable in  $\Sigma$  is clustered 3-colorable.

A *layering* of a graph G is an ordered partition  $(V_1, V_2, ...)$  of V(G) such that for every edge e of G, there exists i such that either both ends of e are contained in  $V_i$ , or e is between  $V_i$  and  $V_{i+1}$ .

The *layered tree-width* of *G* is the minimum *w* such that there exist a layering  $(V_1, V_2, ...)$  and a tree-decomposition  $(T, \mathcal{X})$  such that  $\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}, t \in V(T)} |V_i \cap X_t| = w$ .

**Theorem:** (Esperet, Joret) For any g and any surface  $\Sigma$ , the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q embeddable in  $\Sigma$  is clustered 3-colorable.

A *layering* of a graph G is an ordered partition  $(V_1, V_2, ...)$  of V(G) such that for every edge e of G, there exists i such that either both ends of eare contained in  $V_i$ , or *e* is between  $V_i$  and  $V_{i+1}$ .

The *layered tree-width* of G is the minimum w such that there exist a layering  $(V_1, V_2, ...)$  and a tree-decomposition  $(T, \mathcal{X})$  such that  $\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}, t \in V(T)} |V_i \cap X_t| = w.$ 

**Theorem:** (Dujmović, Morin, Wood) Every graph embeddable in a surface of Euler genus g has layered tree-width at most 2g + 3.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト - 4 ヨ ト -

**Theorem:** (Esperet, Joret) For any q and any surface  $\Sigma$ , the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q embeddable in  $\Sigma$  is clustered 3-colorable such that every monochromatic component contains  $O(q^{32q2^g})$  vertices.

### Theorem (L., Wood)

For any q, w, the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q and layered tree-width at most w is clustered 3-colorable such that every monochromatic component contains  $O(w^{22}q^{43})$  vertices.

**Theorem:** (Esperet, Joret) For any q and any surface  $\Sigma$ , the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q embeddable in  $\Sigma$  is clustered 3-colorable such that every monochromatic component contains  $O(q^{32q2^g})$  vertices.

### Theorem (L., Wood)

For any q, w, the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q and layered tree-width at most w is clustered 3-colorable such that every monochromatic component contains  $O(w^{22}q^{43})$  vertices.

- Classes of graphs of bounded layered treewidth are not minor closed.
- $K_6$ -minor-free graphs can have arbitrarily large layered treewidth.
- Graphs of layered treewidth 2 can contain arbitrarily large graph as a minor.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

- (L.): For any q, w, the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q and tree-width at most w is clustered 2-choosable.
- (L., Wood): For any q, w, the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q and layered tree-width at most w is clustered 3-colorable.
- (L., Oum): For any q and graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 3-colorable.
- (L., Oum): For any q and graph H, the class of odd H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 3-colorable.

- (L.): For any q, w, the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q and tree-width at most w is clustered 2-choosable.
- (L., Wood): For any q, w, the class of graphs of maximum degree at most q and layered tree-width at most w is clustered 3-colorable.
- (L., Oum): For any q and graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 3-colorable.
- (L., Oum): For any q and graph H, the class of odd H-minor-free graphs of maximum degree at most q is clustered 3-colorable.

Maximum degree at most  $q \Leftrightarrow$  no  $K_{1,q+1}$ -subgraph.

### Theorem (L., Wood)

- For any p, q, w, the class of graphs of tree-width at most w with no K<sub>p,q</sub>-subgraph is clustered p + 1-choosable.
- So For any p, q, w, the class of graphs of layered tree-width at most w with no  $K_{p,q}$ -subgraph is clustered p + 2-colorable.
- For any p, q and graph H, the class of H-minor-free graphs with no K<sub>p,q</sub>-subgraph is clustered p + 2-colorable.
- For any p, q and graph H, the class of odd H-minor-free graphs with no K<sub>p,q</sub>-subgraph is clustered 2p + 1-colorable.
- For any p, q, d with p + 3d ≥ 7 and graph H of maximum degree d, the class of H-topological minor free graphs with no K<sub>p,q</sub>-subgraph is clustered (p + 3d - 4)-colorable.

Statements 1 and 3 are tight for every p.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

**Question:** For every t, what is the minimum f(t) such that the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -minor-free graphs is clustered f(t)-colorable?

**Question:** For every t, what is the minimum f(t) such that the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -minor-free graphs is clustered f(t)-colorable?

- (Edwards, Kang, Kim, Oum, Seymour)  $t \le f(t) \le 4t$ .
- (Kawarabayashi, Mohar)  $f(t) \leq \lceil \frac{31}{2}(t+1) \rceil$ .
- (Wood)  $f(t) \leq \lceil \frac{7}{2}t + 2 \rceil$ .
- (L., Oum)  $f(t) \le 3t$ .
- (Norin; van den Heuval, Wood)  $f(t) \leq 2t$ .

**Question:** For every t, what is the minimum f(t) such that the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -minor-free graphs is clustered f(t)-colorable?

#### Theorem:

- (Edwards, Kang, Kim, Oum, Seymour)  $t \le f(t) \le 4t$ .
- (Kawarabayashi, Mohar)  $f(t) \leq \lceil \frac{31}{2}(t+1) \rceil$ .
- (Wood)  $f(t) \leq \lceil \frac{7}{2}t + 2 \rceil$ .
- (L., Oum)  $f(t) \le 3t$ .
- (Norin; van den Heuval, Wood)  $f(t) \leq 2t$ .

No  $K_{t+1}$ -minor  $\Rightarrow$  no  $K_{t,t}$ -subgraph.

# Theorem (L., Wood) f(t) < t + 2.

**Question:** For every t, what is the minimum f(t) such that the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -minor-free graphs is clustered f(t)-colorable?

#### Theorem:

- (Edwards, Kang, Kim, Oum, Seymour)  $t \le f(t) \le 4t$ .
- (Kawarabayashi, Mohar)  $f(t) \leq \lceil \frac{31}{2}(t+1) \rceil$ .
- (Wood)  $f(t) \leq \lceil \frac{7}{2}t + 2 \rceil$ .
- (L., Oum)  $f(t) \le 3t$ .
- (Norin; van den Heuval, Wood)  $f(t) \leq 2t$ .

No  $K_{t+1}$ -minor  $\Rightarrow$  no  $K_{t,t}$ -subgraph.

### Theorem (L., Wood)

 $f(t) \leq t+2.$ 

**Theorem:** (Dvořák, Norin) f(t) = t.

Chun-Hung Liu (Texas A&M) Clustered coloring for old graph coloring conje

3

16 / 25

August 20, 2019

**Question:** For every t, what is the minimum f(t) such that the class of odd  $K_{t+1}$ -minor-free graphs is clustered f(t)-colorable?

**Question:** For every t, what is the minimum f(t) such that the class of odd  $K_{t+1}$ -minor-free graphs is clustered f(t)-colorable?

#### Theorem:

- (Edwards, Kang, Kim, Oum, Seymour)  $f(t) \ge t$ .
- (Kawarabayashi)  $f(t) \leq 496t$ .
- (Kang, Oum)  $f(t) \le 10t 13$ .

# Theorem (L., Wood) f(t) < 8t - 4.

**Question:** For every t, what is the minimum f(t) such that the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -topological minor free graphs is clustered f(t)-colorable?

**Question:** For every t, what is the minimum f(t) such that the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -topological minor free graphs is clustered f(t)-colorable?

 $t\leq f(t)=O(t^2).$ 

**Question:** For every t, what is the minimum f(t) such that the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -topological minor free graphs is clustered f(t)-colorable?

 $t\leq f(t)=O(t^2).$ 

Theorem (L., Wood)  $f(t) \le 4t - 5$  if  $t \ge 2$ . No  $K_{t+1}$ -topological minor  $\Rightarrow$  no  $K_{t,\binom{t}{2}+1}$ -subgraph.

### Theorem (L., Wood)

- For any t, w, the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs of tree-width at most w is clustered t + 1-choosable.
- For any t, w, the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs of layered tree-width at most w is clustered t + 2-colorable.
- So For any t and graph H, the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -topological minor and H-minor free graphs is clustered t + 2-colorable.
- For any t and graph H, the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -topological minor and odd H-minor free graphs is clustered 2t + 1-colorable.
- For any t, d and graph H of maximum degree d, the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor and H-topological minor free graphs is clustered (t + 3d - 4)-colorable.

3

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

### Theorem (L., Wood)

- For any t, w, the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs of tree-width at most w is clustered t-choosable.
- For any t, w, the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs of layered tree-width at most w is clustered t + 2-colorable.
- So For any t and graph H, the class of  $K_{t+1}$ -topological minor and H-minor free graphs is clustered t + 1-colorable.
- For any t and graph H, the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor and odd H-minor free graphs is clustered 2t + 1-colorable.
- So For any t, d and graph H of maximum degree d, the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor and H-topological minor free graphs is clustered (t + 3d 5)-colorable.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

A graph is (g, k)-planar if it can be drawn in a surface of Euler genus at most g such that there are at most k edge-crossings on each edge.

A graph is (g, k)-planar if it can be drawn in a surface of Euler genus at most g such that there are at most k edge-crossings on each edge.

- (Esperet, Ochem) The class of (g, 0)-planar graphs is clustered 5-colorable.
- **(Wood)** The class of (g, k)-planar graphs is clustered 12-colorable.

A graph is (g, k)-planar if it can be drawn in a surface of Euler genus at most g such that there are at most k edge-crossings on each edge.

- (Esperet, Ochem) The class of (g, 0)-planar graphs is clustered 5-colorable.
- **(Wood)** The class of (g, k)-planar graphs is clustered 12-colorable.
- Oujmović, Eppstein, Wood) Every (g, k)-planar graph has layered tree-width at most O(gk).
- (Ossona de Mendez, Oum, Wood) Every (g, k)-planar graph has no K<sub>3,t</sub> subgraph for some large t ≤ O(kg<sup>2</sup>).
- (L., Wood) For any p, q, w, the class of graphs of layered tree-width at most w with no  $K_{p,q}$ -subgraph is clustered p + 2-colorable.

A graph is (g, k)-planar if it can be drawn in a surface of Euler genus at most g such that there are at most k edge-crossings on each edge.

#### Theorem:

- (Esperet, Ochem) The class of (g, 0)-planar graphs is clustered 5-colorable.
- **(Wood)** The class of (g, k)-planar graphs is clustered 12-colorable.
- Oujmović, Eppstein, Wood) Every (g, k)-planar graph has layered tree-width at most O(gk).
- (Ossona de Mendez, Oum, Wood) Every (g, k)-planar graph has no K<sub>3,t</sub> subgraph for some large t ≤ O(kg<sup>2</sup>).
- (L., Wood) For any p, q, w, the class of graphs of layered tree-width at most w with no  $K_{p,q}$ -subgraph is clustered p + 2-colorable.

### Corollary (L., Wood)

The class of (g, k)-planar graph is clustered 5-colorable.

### Application to geometric graphs

A graph G is a (g, d)-map graph if there exist a graph  $G_0$  embedded in a surface of Euler genus at most g with no edge-crossing and a partition of  $F(G_0)$  into two parts  $X_1, X_2$  such that

- every vertex of  $G_0$  is incident with at most d faces in  $X_1$ , and
- $V(G) = X_1$ , and two vertices in G are adjacent if and only if they share a vertex of  $G_0$ .

## Application to geometric graphs

A graph G is a (g, d)-map graph if there exist a graph  $G_0$  embedded in a surface of Euler genus at most g with no edge-crossing and a partition of  $F(G_0)$  into two parts  $X_1, X_2$  such that

- every vertex of  $G_0$  is incident with at most d faces in  $X_1$ , and
- $V(G) = X_1$ , and two vertices in G are adjacent if and only if they share a vertex of  $G_0$ .

The class of (g, 3)-map graphs equals the class of graphs of Euler genus at most g.

**Theorem:** (Dujmović, Eppstein, Wood) Every (g, d)-map graph is  $(g, O(d^2))$ -planar.

Corollary (L., Wood) The class of (g, d)-map graphs is clustered 5-colorable. A (g, k)-string graph is the intersection graph of a set of curves on a surface of Euler genus at most g, where every curve intersects at most k other curves.

**Theorem:** (Dujmović, Joret, Morin, Norin, Wood) Every (g, k)-string graph has layered treewidth at most O(gk).

Corollary (L., Wood)

The class of (g, k)-string graphs is clustered 3-colorable.

### Future work

### Question:

- Is the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs clustered t-colorable?
- **2** Is the class of odd  $K_{t+1}$ -minor free graphs clustered *t*-colorable?
- Is the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs clustered t-choosable?

### Future work

### Question:

- Is the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs clustered t-colorable?
- **2** Is the class of odd  $K_{t+1}$ -minor free graphs clustered *t*-colorable?
- Is the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs clustered t-choosable?
- What is the minimum f(d) such that the class of graphs of maximum degree at most d is clustered f(d)-colorable?
  - (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan; Haxell, Szabó, Tardos)  $\lfloor \frac{d+6}{4} \rfloor \leq f(d) \leq \lceil \frac{d+1}{3} \rceil$ .

### Future work

### Question:

- Is the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs clustered t-colorable?
- **2** Is the class of odd  $K_{t+1}$ -minor free graphs clustered *t*-colorable?
- Is the class of K<sub>t+1</sub>-topological minor free graphs clustered t-choosable?
- What is the minimum f(d) such that the class of graphs of maximum degree at most d is clustered f(d)-colorable?
  - (Alon, Ding, Oporowski, Vertigan; Haxell, Szabó, Tardos)  $\lfloor \frac{d+6}{4} \rfloor \leq f(d) \leq \lceil \frac{d+1}{3} \rceil$ .

The *connected tree-depth* of a graph H is the minimum depth of a rooted tree T such that H is a subgraph of the closure of T.

**Conjecture:** (Norin, Scott, Seymour, Wood) If the connected tree-depth of H is at most t, then the class of H-minor free graphs is clustered (2t - 2)-colorable.

THANK YOU!

æ

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・