Transversal Packing designs

Lijun Ji

Department of Mathematics Soochow University

Aug 20

Lijun Ji Aug 20, 2019, Taiwan

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

2

- Introduction
- H(*m*, *g*, 4, 3) designs
- Transversal designs
- H(m, g, 4, 3) packing designs
- Future researh

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

э

An H(m, g, k, t) design is a triple $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$, where

- (1) X is a set of mg points,
- (2) T is a partition of X into m disjoint sets of size g (called groups), and
- (3) \mathcal{B} is a set of *k*-element transverses of \mathcal{T} , such that each *t*-element transverse of \mathcal{T} is contained in at most one of them.

If each *t*-element transverse is contained in exactly one block, then it is called an H(m, g, k, t)design (or a group divisible *t*-design of type g^m).

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

An H(m, 1, k, t) design is usually called a Steiner system and denoted by S(t, k, m), the group set Tis omitted, i.e., a Steiner system is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) , where *X* is a set of *m* points and \mathcal{B} is a set of *k*-subsets of *X* such that each *t*-subset of *X* is contained in exactly one of \mathcal{B}

An S(2, k, m) is called a balanced incomplete block design.

An H(k, g, k, t) design is also called a transversal design and denoted by TD(t, k, g).

$\mathsf{TD}(k-1,k,g)$

- Point set: $I_k \times \mathbb{Z}_g$, $I_k = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$;
- Groups: $\{i\} \times \mathbb{Z}_g, i \in I_k;$
- Blocks: $\{(1, x_1), (2, x_2), \dots, (k, x_k)\},\ x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{Z}_g, x_1 + \dots + x_k \equiv 0 \pmod{g}.$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

A TD(2, n + 1, n) exists.

 \iff There are n-1 mutually Latin squares of order n.

$$\iff$$
 An S $(2, n + 1, n^2 + n + 1)$ exists.

- \iff A projective plane of order *n* exists.
- \iff An affine plane of order *n* exists.

$$H(m, g, k, t)$$
 design $\Longrightarrow H(m - 1, g, k - 1, t - 1)$

Theorem

If there is an H(m, g, k, t) design, then

$$\binom{m-i}{t-i}g^{t-i} \equiv 0 \pmod{\binom{k-i}{t-i}},$$

for $0 \le i < t$.

Construction Methods:

- Difference family $\Longrightarrow S(2, k, n)$
- Relative difference family \implies H(n, g, k, 2)
- Difference matrix \implies TD(2, k, n)
- Wilson's fundamental construction

Let *G* be an abelian group of order *n*. A difference matrix, or an (n, k; 1)-DM is a $k \times n$ array (a_{ij}) $(1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le n)$ with entries from *G*, such that, for any two distinct rows *l* and *h* of *D* $(1 \le l < h \le k)$, the difference list

$$\Delta_{lh} = \{d_{h1} - d_{l1}, d_{h2} - d_{l2}, \dots, d_{hn} - d_{ln}\}$$

contains every element of *G* exactly once.

- For k ∈ {3,4}, the existence of an H(m, g, k, 2) has been determined.
- The existence of an H(*m*, *g*, 5, 2) has been almost determined with a few possible exceptions.
- There is a TD(2, q + 1, q) for any prime power q.

C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2007.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

- H(*m*, 1, 4, 3) designs, Hanani 1960 ¹
- H(m, g, 4, 3) designs, $m \neq 5$, Mills 1990²
- H(5, g, 4, 3) designs, Ji 2019 ³

Theorem

An H(m, g, 4, 3) design exists if and only if $m \ge 4$, $mg \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $g(m-1)(m-2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and $(m, g) \ne (5, 2)$.

¹H. Hanani, On quadruple systems, Canad. J. Math. 12 (1960), 145-157. ²W. H. Mills, On the existence of H designs, Congr. Numer. 79 (1990), 129-141.

A *candelabra t*-system of order mg + s and block sizes from *K* is a quadruple (X, S, Γ, A) , denoted by CS(t, K, mg + s) of type $(g^m : s)$, where:

(1) *X* is a set of *mg* + *s* elements (called *points*);
(2) *S* is an *s*-subset of *X* (called a *stem*);
(3) Γ = {*G*₁,...,*G_m*} is a partition *X**S* into *m* groups of size *g*;

(4) A is a family of subsets of X, each of cardinality from K (called *blocks*);

(5) every *t*-subset *T* of *X* with $|T \cap (S \cup G_i)| < t$ for all *i*, is contained in a unique block and no *t*-subset of $S \cup G_i$ for all *i* occurs.

A CS(3, 4, mg + s) of type $(g^n : s)$ is called a candelabra quadruple system and denoted by $CQS(g^n : s)$.

Theorem (Hartman 1994)

Suppose that there is an S(3, K, v + 1). If there is an H(k, g, 4, 3) design and a $CQS(g^{k-1} : s)$ for $k \in K$, there is a $CQS(g^v : s)$.^{*a*}

^aA. Hartman, The fundamental construction for 3-designs, Discrete Math. 124 (1994), 107-132.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

- An $S(3, \{4, 6\}, v)$ exists $\iff v \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ (Hanani 1963).
- There is an $S(3, \{4, 6\}, v)$ whose blocks of size 6 form a partition of the point set (called a G(v/6, 6, 4, 3) design) if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ (Mills 1974).
- An SQS(v) exists if $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$.
- An SQS(v) exists if $v \equiv 8 \pmod{12}$.
- An SQS(v) exists if $v \equiv 2 \pmod{12}$.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

H frames

An $H((n^m:s), g, k, t)$ frame is an ordered four-tuple $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F})$ where (1) X is a set of mng + sg points; (2) $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \ldots, G_{mn+s}\}$ is an equipartition of X into mn + s groups; (3) $\mathcal{F} = \{F_0, F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ is a family of subsets of \mathcal{G} called *holes* such that $|F_1| = \cdots = |F_m| = n + s$, $|F_0| = s$ and $F_i \cap F_i = F_0, 1 \le i < j \le m$; (4) \mathcal{B} is a set of k-transverses (called *blocks*) of \mathcal{G} with the property that blocks contain exactly each *t*-transverses of *G* which is *not* a *t*-transverse of some hole $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ once, no other *t*-transverses.

Existence of H designs with $m \neq 5$ (Mills 1990)

- SQS $(m) \Longrightarrow H(m, g, 4, 3)$ for $m \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ and any positive integer *g*.
- $S(3, \{4, 6\}, m) \Longrightarrow H(m, g, 4, 3)$ for $m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $g \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.
- G-designs and SQSs \implies H(m, g, 4, 3) for $m \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ and $g \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.
- H frame \implies H(m, g, 4, 3) for $m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $g \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ▲圖 ● ④ ● ●

An IH(m, (g, g'), k, t) (H(m, g, k, t)-H(m, g', k, t)) is a partial H(m, g, k, t) design $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ with a hole *Y* of size mg' satisfying the following conditions:

(1)
$$|Y \cap G| = g'$$
 for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$,

(2) each *t*-transverse *T* of \mathcal{G} is contained in exactly one block of \mathcal{B} if $T \not\subset Y$, and

(3) any *t*-transverse *T* of \mathcal{G} with $T \subset Y$ is not contained in any block of \mathcal{B} .

A GLD $(m, n \times g, k, (t, \ell))$ is partial H(m, ng, k, t)design $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ with a hole set \mathcal{H} such that

(1) \mathcal{H} is a partition of *X* into *n* subsets of size *mg*, (2) $|G \cap H| = g$ for $G \in \mathcal{G}, H \in \mathcal{H}$,

(3) $|B \cap H| \leq \ell$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}, H \in \mathcal{H}$, and

(4) each *t*-subset of *X*, which meets each $G \in \mathcal{G}$ in at most one point and meets each $H \in \mathcal{H}$ in at most ℓ points, is contained in exactly one block.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

When g = 1 and $\ell = 1$, it is called a lattice *t*-design and shortly denoted by LD(m, n, k, t).

In 2002, Mohácsy and Ray-Chaudhuri pointed out an equivalence between ordered designs and Lattice designs.

Teirlinck 1990

There is an LD(m, 4, 4, 3) for any integer $m \ge 4$ with $m \ne 7$.

Lemma

For any integer $g \equiv 2, 10 \pmod{12}$ with $n \ge 10$, there is an H(5, g, 4, 3) design.

Proof: Write g = 2g'. Start with an SQS(g' + 1) or a $G\frac{g'+1}{6}$, 6, 4, 3). Input a GLD $(5, 3 \times 2, 4, (3, 2))$, a GLD $(5, k \times 2, 4, (3, 1))$ and an IH(5, (k, 2), 4, 3), $k \in \{4, 6\}$. The required design is obtained.

<ロ> <同> <同> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三</p>

- K. A. Bush, Orthogonal arrays of index unity, Ann. Math. Stat. 23 (1952) 426-434.
- A. S. Hedayat, N. J. A. Sloane and J. Stufken, Orthogonal Arrays Theory and Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

・ 同・ ・ ヨ・ ・

TD(t, q + 1, q) (Bush 1952)

- Point set: $I_{q+1} \times GF(q)$, $GF(q) = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_q\}$;
- Groups: $\{i\} \times GF(q), i \in I_{q+1};$
- Blocks:

 $\{(1, f(\alpha_1)), \dots, (q, f(\alpha_q)), (q+1, [x^{t-1}]f(x))\},\$ $f(x) \in GF(q)[x], deg(f(x)) < t.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

A TD(t, k, n) exists. \iff An OA(t, k, n) exists \iff There is an *n*-ary maximal distance separable code of length *k* and Hamming distance k - t + 1.

An orthogonal array OA(t, k, n) is an n^t by k array with entries from a symbol set X of size n such that each of its $n^t \times t$ subarrays contains every t-tuple from X^t exactly once.

▲ (同) ▶ (▲ 三) ▶

Construction (Ji and Yin 2009)

If there is a (v, 4; 1)-DM, then there is a TD(3, 5, v).

If v ≠ 2 (mod 4) and v ≥ 4, then there is a (v, 4; 1)-DM. (G. Ge, 2005)
If v ≠ 2 (mod 4) and v ≥ 4, then there is a TD(3, 5, v).

Problem 1: Is there a TD(3, 5, v) for $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$?

・ロン ・聞 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

Let $D = (d_{ii})$ be a (v, 4; 1)-DM over an abelian group G. An v-tuple $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_v)$ over G is called an *adder* of the difference matrix D if $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{\nu}\} = G$ and the matrix $D^{s} = (d'_{ii}), d'_{ii} = d_{ii}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and $d'_{ii} = d_{ii} + s_i$ for $i \in \{3, 4\}$, is also a (v, 4; 1)-DM over the group G.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > … 回

Construction (Ji and Yin, JCTA 2010)

If there is a (v, 4; 1)-DM associated with an adder, then there is a TD(3, 6, v).

Theorem (Ji and Yin, JCTA 2010)

Let *v* be a positive integer which satisfies $gcd(v, 4) \neq 2$ and $gcd(v, 9) \neq 3$. Then there is a TD(3, 6, v).

Problem 2: Construct a (3p, 4; 1)-DM associated with an adder for any prime $p \ge 11$?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Suppose that there exist (1) a TD(3, k+2, g); (2) a TD(3, k, m); (3) an $ITD((3, 1), k, (m + m_i, m_i))$ for i = 1, 2; (4) an ITD $((3,2), k, (m+m_1+m_2, m_1+m_2))$ for i = 1, 2;(5) a TD $(3, k, m + m_1 + m_2)$ or a TD $(3, k, m_1 + m_2)$. Then there exists a TD $(3, k, mg + m_1 + m_2)$ that contains a TD(3, $k, m + m_1 + m_2$) and a TD(3, k, m) as sub-designs.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

- Let *x* be an arbitrary odd positive integer. Let *g* be an arbitrary positive integer whose prime-power factors are all \geq 7 such that $g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then
- (1) there is a TD(3, 5, v) with $v = 35xg + 5 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, if $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$; (2) there is a TD(3, 5, v) with $v = 35xg + 7 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, if $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

The packing number PN(n, g, k, t) is the maximum number of blocks in any HP(n, g, k, t).

An HP(n, g, k, t) is called optimal if it has PN(n, g, k, t) blocks.

In 2001, Yin determined packing numbers PN(n, g, 3, 2):

$$PN(n,g,3,2) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{ng}{3} \lfloor \frac{(n-1)g}{2} \rfloor \rfloor - 1, & \text{if } (n-1)g \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ & \text{and } n(n-1)g^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \\ \lfloor \frac{ng}{3} \lfloor \frac{(n-1)g}{2} \rfloor \rfloor, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

Theorem (Preprint)

Let n, g be positive integers with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $g \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ with $(n, g) \notin \{(a, b) : a \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, b \in \{26, 38\}\}$. There is an optimal H(n, g, 4, 3) packing design with $\frac{ng(n^2g^2 - 3ng + 2g^2 - 8)}{24}$ blocks.

Proof: Start with an SQS, or a G-design, or an $H(n, 2, \{4, 6\}, 3)$. Input H-designs, Lattice H-designs and optimal HPs. An optimal H(n, g, 4, 3) packing design is obtained.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Theorem (Preprint)

For any $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ with $n \neq 17$, there is an optimal H(n, 3, 4, 3) packing design.

Proof: Start with an SQS, or a G-design. Input a pair of matching CQSs and an optimal HP(9, 3, 4, 3). An optimal H(n, 3, 4, 3) packing design is obtained.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

- Give more constructions for H(m, g, k, 3).
- Give a direct construction of Steiner system with strength *t* ≥ 6.
- Construct optimal HP(n, g, 4, 3) for odd g.
- Give an infinite class of Steiner systems with $t \ge 4$.

Thank you!

