

# *Log Behavior of Partition Function*

Xingwei Wang

Center for Combinatorics, Nankai University

August 2019

# Outline

- 1 *Introduction*
- 2 *Finite Difference of the Logarithm of Partition function*
- 3 *Higher Order Turán Inequality and Riemann Hypothesis*
- 4 *Log-Behavior of Overpartition function*
- 5 *Higher Order Turán Inequality for Combinatorial Sequences*

# Introduction

Recall that a positive function  $f$  is called **log-convex** on a real interval  $I = [a, b]$ , if for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq f(x)^\lambda f(y)^{1-\lambda}, \quad (1)$$

It is known that a positive function  $f$  is log-convex if and only if  $(\log f(x))'' \geq 0$ .

# Introduction

## Definition

A sequence  $\{a_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$  of real numbers is said to be *log-convex* if

$$a_i^2 \leq a_{i+1}a_{i-1}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ , and is said to be *strictly log-convex* if

$$a_i^2 < a_{i+1}a_{i-1}.$$

# Introduction

## Definition

A sequence  $\{a_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$  is called *unimodal* if there exists  $k$  such that

$$a_0 \leq \cdots \leq a_k \geq \cdots \geq a_m,$$

and is called *strictly unimodal* if

$$a_0 < \cdots < a_k > \cdots > a_m.$$

# Introduction

## Definition

A sequence  $\{a_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}$  of real numbers is said to be *log-concave* if

$$a_i^2 \geq a_{i+1}a_{i-1}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ , and is said to be *strictly log-concave* if

$$a_i^2 > a_{i+1}a_{i-1}.$$

**Example:** The sequence

$$1, 3, 5, 9, 5, 3, 1$$

is symmetric unimodal but not log-concave.

## Completely Monotonic Functions

A function  $f$  is said to be **completely monotonic** on an interval  $I$  if  $f$  has derivatives of all orders on  $I$  and

$$(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

for  $x \in I$  and all integers  $n \geq 0$ .

A positive function  $f$  is said to be **logarithmically completely monotonic** on an interval  $I$  if  $\log f$  satisfies

$$(-1)^n [\log f(x)]^{(n)} \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

for  $x \in I$  and all the integers  $n \geq 1$ . A logarithmically completely monotonic function is completely monotonic, but the converse is not necessarily the case.

# Infinite Log-monotonicity

In 2014, Chen, Guo and Wang defined that a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is **log-monotonic of order  $k$**  if for any  $r \leq k + 1$ ,

$$(-1)^r \Delta^r \log a_n > 0.$$

A sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called **infinitely log-monotonic** if it is log-monotonic of order  $k$  for all integers  $k \geq 1$ .



# Infinite Log-monotonicity

We have the following relation between the completely monotonic functions and infinitely log-monotonic sequences.

*Theorem (Chen, Guo and Wang, Adv. Appl. Math., 2014)*

*Assume that  $f(x)$  is a function such that  $[\log f(x)]''$  is completely monotonic for  $x \geq 1$  and  $a_n = f(n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is infinitely log-monotonic.*

## Definition

Log-monotonic sequences of order two are of special interest. A sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is log-monotonic of order two if and only if it is log-convex and the ratio sequence  $\{a_{n+1}/a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is log-concave. A sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is said to be **ratio log-concave** if  $\{a_{n+1}/a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is log-concave. Similarly, a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called **ratio log-convex** if the ratio sequence  $\{a_{n+1}/a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is log-convex.

# Ratio Log-Concavity implies the Conjectures of Sun

*Theorem (Chen, Guo and Wang, Adv. Appl. Math., 2014)*

Assume that  $k$  is a positive integer. If a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq k}$  is ratio log-concave and

$$\frac{\sqrt[k+1]{a_{k+1}}}{\sqrt[k]{a_k}} > \frac{\sqrt[k+2]{a_{k+2}}}{\sqrt[k+1]{a_{k+1}}}, \quad (4)$$

then the sequence  $\{\sqrt[n]{a_n}\}_{n \geq k}$  is strictly log-concave.

*Theorem (Chen, Guo and Wang, Adv. Appl. Math., 2014)*

Assume that  $k$  is a positive integer. If a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq k}$  is ratio log-convex and

$$\frac{\sqrt[k+1]{a_{k+1}}}{\sqrt[k]{a_k}} < \frac{\sqrt[k+2]{a_{k+2}}}{\sqrt[k+1]{a_{k+1}}},$$

then the sequence  $\{\sqrt[n]{a_n}\}_{n \geq k}$  is strictly log-convex.

# Introduction

- (1) Finite difference of the logarithm of partition function
- (2) Higher order Turán inequality and Riemann hypothesis
- (3) Log-behavior of overpartition function
- (4) Higher order Turán inequality for combinatorial sequences

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Finite Difference of the Logarithm of Partition function
- 3 Higher Order Turán Inequality and Riemann Hypothesis
- 4 Log-Behavior of Overpartition function
- 5 Higher Order Turán Inequality for Combinatorial Sequences

# Partition

A partition of a positive integer  $n$  is a nonincreasing sequence  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$  of positive integers such that

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_r = n.$$

Let  $p(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$ .

# Conjecture

In 2010, Chen proposed the following conjecture

*Conjecture (Chen, 2010)*

For  $n > 25$ ,

$$\frac{p(n-1)}{p(n)} < \frac{p(n)}{p(n+1)} \quad (5)$$

and for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\frac{p(n)}{p(n+1)} < \frac{p(n-1)}{p(n)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (6)$$

In 2015, DeSalvo and Pak proved these two conjectures.

DeSalvo and Pak's proof is based on [Hardy-Ramanujan-Rademacher formula](#) for  $p(n)$  and [Lehmer's error bound](#). Recall that Hardy-Ramanujan-Rademacher formula for  $p(n)$  states that for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$p(n) = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{24n-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{A_k(n)}{\sqrt{k}} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{k}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{\mu(n)/k} + \left(1 + \frac{k}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{-\mu(n)/k} \right] + R_2(n, N),$$

where  $A_k(n)$  is an arithmetic function,  $R_2(n, N)$  is the remainder term and

$$\mu(n) = \frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24n-1}. \quad (7)$$

Lehmer gave an error bound for  $R_2(n, N)$ .

$$|R_2(n, N)| < \frac{\pi^2 N^{-2/3}}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \left(\frac{N}{\mu(n)}\right)^3 \sinh \frac{\mu(n)}{N} + \frac{1}{6} - \left(\frac{N}{\mu(n)}\right)^2 \right],$$

which is valid for all positive integers  $n$  and  $N$ .



*Theorem (Bessenrodt and Ono, Ann. Combin., 2016)*

For any integers  $a$  and  $b$  satisfying  $a, b > 1$  and  $a + b > 9$ , we have

$$p(a)p(b) > p(a + b).$$

*Conjecture (Desalvo and Pak, Ramanujan J., 2015)*

For  $n \geq 45$ ,

$$\frac{p(n-1)}{p(n)} \left( 1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}n^{3/2}} \right) > \frac{p(n)}{p(n+1)}.$$

This conjecture has been proved by Chen, Wang and Xie.

Notice that the above results can be rewritten as

$$0 \leq -\Delta^2 \log p(n) < \log \left( 1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}} \right).$$

What happens with  $\Delta^n \log p(n)$ ?

# Motivation

- (1) In 1977, Good conjectured that  $\Delta^r p(n)$  alternates in sign up to a certain value  $n = n(r)$ , and then it stays positive;
- (2) In 1978, Using the Hardy-Rademacher series for  $p(n)$ , Gupta proved that for any given  $r$ ,  $\Delta^r p(n) > 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .
- (3) In 1988, Odlyzko proved the conjecture of Good and obtained the following asymptotic formula for  $n(r)$ :

$$n(r) \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} r^2 \log^2 r \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

- (4) In 1991, Using the WKB method on the difference equation for  $\Delta^r p(n)$ , Knessl and Keller obtained an approximation  $n(r)'$  for  $n(r)$  for which  $|n(r)' - n(r)| \leq 2$  up to  $r = 75$ .

# Finite difference of $\log p(n)$

*Theorem (Chen, Wang and Xie, Math. Comp., 2016)*

*For each  $r \geq 1$ , there exists a positive integer  $n(r)$  such that for  $n \geq n(r)$ ,*

$$0 < (-1)^{r-1} \Delta^r \log p(n) < \log \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{6}\pi}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{r-\frac{1}{2}}} \right).$$

**Question:**

Find a sharper lower bound for  $(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^r \log p(n)$ .

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Finite Difference of the Logarithm of Partition function
- 3 Higher Order Turán Inequality and Riemann Hypothesis
- 4 Log-Behavior of Overpartition function
- 5 Higher Order Turán Inequality for Combinatorial Sequences

# Introduction

The Turán inequalities and the higher order Turán inequalities arise in the study of Maclaurin coefficients of an entire function in the Laguerre-Pólya class. A real sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is said to satisfy the **Turán inequalities** if for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$a_n^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1} \geq 0.$$

It is said to satisfy the **higher order Turán inequalities** if for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$4(a_n^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1})(a_{n+1}^2 - a_n a_{n+2}) - (a_n a_{n+1} - a_{n-1} a_{n+2})^2 \geq 0.$$

# Background

A real entire function

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_k \frac{x^k}{k!} \quad (8)$$

is said to be in the **Laguerre-Pólya class**, denoted  $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{LP}$ , if it can be represented in the form

$$\psi(x) = cx^m e^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + x/x_k) e^{-x/x_k},$$

where  $c, \beta, x_k$  are real numbers,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $m$  is a nonnegative integer and  $\sum x_k^{-2} < \infty$ . **These functions are the only ones which are uniform limits of polynomials whose zeros are real.**

# Background

The **Jensen polynomial** of degree  $d$  and shift  $n$  of an arbitrary sequence  $\{\alpha(0), \alpha(1), \alpha(2), \dots\}$  of real numbers is the polynomial

$$J_{\alpha}^{d,n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \alpha(n+k) x^k$$

In 1913, Jensen proved that a real entire function  $\psi(x)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{LP}$  class if and only if for any positive integer  $n$ , the  $n$ -th associated Jensen polynomial with  $\gamma_k$

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k x^k \quad (9)$$

has only real zeros.



## Background

Pólya and Schur showed that if a real entire function  $\psi(x)$  belongs to the  $\mathcal{LP}$  class, then its Maclaurin coefficient sequence satisfies the Turán inequalities

$$\gamma_k^2 - \gamma_{k-1}\gamma_{k+1} \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

for  $k \geq 1$ . Moreover, if a real entire function  $\psi(x)$  belongs to the  $\mathcal{LP}$  class, then the  $n$ -th Jensen polynomial associated with  $\psi^{(m)}$

$$g_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \gamma_{k+m} x^k, \quad (11)$$

has only real zeros for any nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$ .

## Background

In 1998, Dimitrov observed that for a real entire function  $\psi(x)$  in the  $\mathcal{LP}$  class, the Maclaurin coefficients satisfy the higher order Turán inequalities

$$4(\gamma_k^2 - \gamma_{k-1}\gamma_{k+1})(\gamma_{k+1}^2 - \gamma_k\gamma_{k+2}) - (\gamma_k\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_{k-1}\gamma_{k+2})^2 \geq 0 \quad (12)$$

for  $k \geq 1$ . This fact follows from a theorem of Mařík stating that if a real polynomial

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k x^k \quad (13)$$

of degree  $n \geq 3$  has only real zeros, then  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  satisfy the higher order Turán inequalities.

# Background

The  $\mathcal{LP}$  class is closely related to the **Riemann hypothesis**. Let  $\zeta$  denote the Riemann zeta-function and  $\Gamma$  be the gamma-function. Recall that the Riemann  $\xi$ -function is defined by

$$\xi(iz) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \pi^{-z/2-1/4} \Gamma \left( \frac{z}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \zeta \left( z + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (14)$$

It is well known that the Riemann hypothesis holds if and only if the Riemann  $\xi$ -function belongs to the  $\mathcal{LP}$  class.

# Background

If the Riemann hypothesis is true, then the Maclaurin coefficients of the Riemann  $\xi$ -function satisfy both the Turán inequalities and the higher order Turán inequalities.

- In 1986, Csordas, Norfolk and Varga proved that the coefficients of the Riemann  $\xi$ -function indeed satisfy the Turán inequalities, confirming a conjecture of Pólya.
- In 2011, Dimitrov and Lucas showed that the coefficients of the Riemann  $\xi$ -function satisfy the higher order Turán inequalities without the Riemann hypothesis.

These results provided support to the positivity of Riemann hypothesis.

# Main Result

*Theorem (Chen, Jia and Wang, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2019)*

Let  $p(n)$  be the partition function. Then, for  $n \geq 95$ ,

$$4(p(n)^2 - p(n-1)p(n+1))(p(n+1)^2 - p(n)p(n+2)) - (p(n)p(n+1) - p(n-1)p(n+2))^2 > 0. \quad (15)$$

## Sketch of Proof

Because we have to deal with the product and the minus of  $p(n)$  simultaneously, it is no possible to directly apply the method in the calculation of the finite difference of  $\log p(n)$ . We translate it into the following form: for  $n \geq 95$ ,

$$4(1 - a_n)(1 - a_{n+1}) - (1 - a_n a_{n+1})^2 > 0,$$

where  $a_n = \frac{p(n+1)p(n-1)}{p(n)^2}$ .

# Sketch of Proof

## Lemma

If  $0 < \alpha < \beta < Q(\alpha) < 1$ , where  $Q(\alpha) = \frac{3\alpha + 2\sqrt{-(\alpha-1)^3 - 2}}{\alpha^2}$ , then

$$4(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta) - (1 - \alpha\beta)^2 > 0.$$

Chen, Wang and Xie have proved that  $a_n < a_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 45$ . Hence, the remaining is to prove that for  $n \geq 95$ ,

$$a_{n+1} < \frac{3a_n + 2\sqrt{-(a_n - 1)^3 - 2}}{a_n^2}.$$

# Real Zero

*Theorem (Chen, Jia and Wang, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2019)*

For  $n \geq 95$ , the polynomial

$$p(n-1) + 3p(n)x + 3p(n+1)x^2 + p(n+2)x^3,$$

has only real zeros.

Since the positivity of the discriminant of the above polynomials is equivalent to the higher order Turán inequalities of  $p(n)$ .



# Conjecture

## Conjecture (Chen-Jia-Wang Conjecture)

For any positive integer  $m \geq 4$ , there exists a positive integer  $N(m)$  such that for any  $n \geq N(m)$ , the polynomial

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} p(n+k)x^k$$

has only real zeros.

Griffin, Ono, Rolin and Zagier proved this conjecture for sufficiently large  $n$ .

# Approach to RH

Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to the hyperbolicity of the polynomials  $g_{n,m}(x)$  for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $n$ .

*Theorem (Griffin, Ono, Rolin and Zagier, PNAS, 2019)*

*If  $n \geq 1$ , then  $g_{n,m}(x)$  is hyperbolic for all sufficiently large  $m$ .*

*Theorem (Griffin, Ono, Rolin and Zagier, PNAS, 2019)*

*For  $1 \leq n \leq 8$ ,  $g_{n,m}(x)$  is hyperbolic for all  $m$ .*

# Approach to RH

*Theorem (Griffin, Ono, Rolin and Zagier, PNAS, 2019)*

Let  $\alpha(n)$ ,  $A(n)$ , and  $\delta(n)$  be three sequences of positive real numbers with  $\delta(n)$  tending to zero and satisfying

$$\log \left( \frac{\alpha(n+j)}{\alpha(n)} \right) = A(n)j - \delta(n)^2 j^2 + o(\delta(n)^d) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for some integer  $d \geq 1$  and all  $0 \leq j \leq d$ . Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\delta(n)^{-d}}{\alpha(n)} J_{\alpha}^{d,n} \left( \frac{\delta(n)^x - 1}{\exp(A(n))} \right) \right) = H_d(x)$$

uniformly for  $x$  in any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $H_d(x)$  is the Hermite polynomials

# Approach to RH

Since the [Hermite polynomials have distinct roots](#), and since this property of a polynomial with real coefficients is invariant under small deformation, one can immediately deduce the following result.

*Theorem (Griffin, Ono, Rolin and Zagier, PNAS, 2019)*

*The Jensen polynomials  $J_{\alpha}^{d,n}(x)$  for a sequence  $\alpha$  satisfying the conditions in the above theorem are hyperbolic for all but finitely many values  $n$ .*

The method of proof is rooted in the newly discovered phenomenon that these polynomials are nicely approximated by Hermite polynomials. Furthermore, it is shown that this method applies to a large class of related problems.

## Approach to RH

The partition functions  $p(n)$  are the Fourier coefficients of a modular form, namely,

$$\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^{n-\frac{1}{24}}.$$

where  $\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod(1 - q^n)$  is the Dedekind eta-function.

*Theorem (Griffin, Ono, Rolin and Zagier, PNAS, 2019)*

Assume that  $f(\tau)$  is a modular form of weight  $k$  on  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and with a pole of order  $m > 0$  at infinity. If

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n \in -m + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} a_f(n)q^n \quad (m \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, a_f(-m) \neq 0),$$

then for any fixed  $d \geq 1$ , the Jensen polynomials  $J_{a_f(n)}^{d,n}(x)$  are hyperbolic for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

# Approach to RH

For partition function  $p(n)$ , by the previous results, one can choose

$$A(n) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{24n-1}} - \frac{24}{24n-1}$$

and

$$\delta(n) = \sqrt{\frac{12\pi}{(24n-1)^{3/2}} - \frac{288}{(24n-1)^2}}.$$

We can observe that the degree 2 and 3 partition Jensen polynomials are modeled by  $H_2(X) = X^2 - 2$  and  $H_3(X) = X^3 - 6X$ .

## Approach to RH

For the threshold of partition function, Larson and Wagner gave the exactly values of  $N(4) = 206$  and  $N(5) = 381$  and

$$N(m) = (3m)^{24m}(50m)^{3m^2}.$$

They also prove the following theorem.

*Theorem (Larson and Wagner, Res. Number Th., 2019)*

Let  $u_n = p(n-1)p(n+1)/p(n)^2$ . Then for all  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$4(1 - u_n)(1 - u_{n+1}) < \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}n^{3/2}}\right) (1 - u_n u_{n+1})^2.$$

# Determinantal Inequalities of Partition Function

## Definition

A sequence  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  of real numbers is called a **multiplier sequence** if, wherever the real polynomial  $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$  has only real zeros, the polynomial  $\Gamma(P(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_k a_k x^k$  also has only real zeros.

A sequence  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is a multiplier sequence if and only if its exponential generating function  $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k$  belongs to  $\mathcal{LPI}$ .



# Determinantal Inequalities of Partition Function

*Theorem (Craven and Csordas, Pacific J. Math., 1989)*

If  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $\gamma_k > 0$ , is a multiplier sequence, then

$$\begin{vmatrix} \gamma_k & \gamma_{k+1} & \gamma_{k+2} \\ \gamma_{k-1} & \gamma_k & \gamma_{k+1} \\ \gamma_{k-2} & \gamma_{k-1} & \gamma_k \end{vmatrix} \geq 0, \text{ for } k = 2, 3, 4, \dots \quad (16)$$

# Determinantal Inequalities of Partition Function

*Theorem (Jia and Wang, Proc. Royal. Edinb. Soc., Section A, 2019)*

Let  $p(n)$  denote the partition function and

$$M_3(p(n)) = \begin{pmatrix} p(n) & p(n+1) & p(n+2) \\ p(n-1) & p(n) & p(n+1) \\ p(n-2) & p(n-1) & p(n) \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

Then for  $n \geq 222$ , we have

$$\det M_3(p(n)) > 0. \quad (18)$$

# Determinantal Inequalities of Partition Function

*Conjecture (Jia and Wang, Proc. Royal. Edinb. Soc., Section A, 2019)*

Let  $M_k(p(n)) = (p(n - i + j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ . For any given  $k$ , there exists a positive integer  $n(k)$  such that for  $n > n(k)$ ,

$$\det M_k(p(n)) > 0. \quad (19)$$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Finite Difference of the Logarithm of Partition function
- 3 Higher Order Turán Inequality and Riemann Hypothesis
- 4 Log-Behavior of Overpartition function
- 5 Higher Order Turán Inequality for Combinatorial Sequences

# Overpartition

An overpartition of a nonnegative integer  $n$  is a partition of  $n$  where the first occurrence of each distinct part may be overlined. For example, the eight overpartitions of 3 are

$$3, \overline{3}, 2 + 1, \overline{2} + 1, 2 + \overline{1}, \overline{2} + \overline{1}, 1 + 1 + 1, \overline{1} + 1 + 1.$$

Let  $\overline{p}(n)$  denote the number of overpartitions of  $n$ .

# Overpartition

Hardy and Ramanujan stated that

$$\bar{p}(n) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{d}{dn} \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{n}}}{\pi\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}n\pi - \frac{1}{6}\pi\right) \frac{d}{dn} \left(e^{\pi\sqrt{n}/3}\right) + \dots + O\left(n^{-1/4}\right). \quad (20)$$

Zuckerman gave the following Rademacher-type convergent series.

$$\bar{p}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \sqrt{k} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \\ (h,k)=1}} \frac{\omega(h,k)^2}{\omega(2h,k)} e^{-2\pi i h n / k} \frac{d}{dn} \left( \frac{\sinh(\pi\sqrt{n}/k)}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \quad (21)$$

where

$$\omega(h,k) = \exp\left(\pi i \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k} \left(\frac{hr}{k} - \left\lfloor \frac{hr}{k} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right). \quad (22)$$

# Overpartition

*Theorem (Engel, Ramanujan J., 2017)*

The function  $\bar{p}(n)$  is log-concave for  $n \geq 2$ .

*Theorem (Wang, Xie and Zhang, Adv. Appl. Math., 2018)*

For each  $r \geq 1$ , there exists a positive integer  $n(r)$  such that for  $n \geq n(r)$ ,

$$0 < \Delta^r \bar{p}(n) \leq 2^{r-3} \left(1 - 2^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) \zeta(3/2) \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{n+r}}}{n+r}.$$

# Overpartition

*Theorem (Wang, Xie and Zhang, Adv. Appl. Math., 2018)*

For each  $r \geq 1$ , there exists a positive integer  $n(r)$  such that for  $n \geq n(r)$ ,

$$0 < (-1)^{r-1} \Delta^r \log \bar{p}(n) < \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

*Theorem (Liu and Zhang, arXiv:1808.05091)*

For  $n > m > 1$ , we have

$$\bar{p}(n)^2 - \bar{p}(n-m)\bar{p}(n+m) > 0.$$

For  $a, b$  are positive integers with  $a, b > 1$ , we have

$$\bar{p}(a)\bar{p}(b) > \bar{p}(a+b).$$



# Overpartition

*Theorem (Liu and Zhang, arXiv:1808.05091)*

Let

$$u_n = \frac{\overline{p}(n-1)\overline{p}(n+1)}{\overline{p}(n)^2}.$$

For  $n \geq 16$ , we have

$$4(1 - u_n)(1 - u_{n+1}) > (1 - u_n u_{n+1})^2.$$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Finite Difference of the Logarithm of Partition function
- 3 Higher Order Turán Inequality and Riemann Hypothesis
- 4 Log-Behavior of Overpartition function
- 5 Higher Order Turán Inequality for Combinatorial Sequences

# Combinatorial Sequences

It is natural to consider whether other combinatorial sequences also satisfy this inequality. Unfortunately, **many celebrated infinitely combinatorial sequences are log-convex, not log-concave**, such as the Motzkin numbers, the Fine numbers, the central Delannoy numbers, the Franel numbers of order 3 and the Domb numbers. Thus they definitely do not satisfy the higher order Turán inequalities.

# Combinatorial Sequences

Došlić introduced log-balanced sequences as follows: A sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of positive real numbers is **log-balanced** if  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is log-convex and the sequence  $\{\frac{a_n}{n!}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is log-concave.

*Theorem (Došlić, Discrete Math., 2005)*

*The Motzkin numbers, the Fine numbers, the Franel number of order 3 and 4, the Apéry numbers, the central Delannoy numbers and the large Schöder numbers are log-balanced.*

# Combinatorial Sequences

Let  $a_n$  be a sequence satisfying the following three-term recurrence relations

$$a_{n+1} = u_n a_n + v_n a_{n-1}, \quad (23)$$

where  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  are rational functions in  $n$  and  $u_n > 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

# Combinatorial Sequences

To prove the sequence  $\{\frac{a_n}{n!}\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies the higher order Turán inequalities, it suffices to prove

$$4 \left( \frac{a_n^2}{n!^2} - \frac{a_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{a_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right) \left( \frac{a_{n+1}^2}{(n+1)!^2} - \frac{a_n}{n!} \frac{a_{n+2}}{(n+2)!} \right) - \left( \frac{a_n}{n!} \frac{a_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} - \frac{a_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{a_{n+2}}{(n+2)!} \right)^2 > 0. \quad (24)$$

# Combinatorial Sequences

Find appropriate  $g(n)$  and  $h(n)$  satisfying that there exists a positive  $N$  such that for  $n > N$ ,

$$(1) \quad g(n) < \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} < h(n),$$

$$(2) \quad f(g(n)) > 0 \text{ and } f(h(n)) > 0,$$

$$(3) \quad f(x) \text{ is monotone on the interval } [g(n), h(n)].$$

# Combinatorial Sequences

*Theorem (Wang, Adv. Appl. Math., 2019)*

If a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfying the above recurrence relation, and we can find  $g(n)$  and  $h(n)$  so that there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that

$$(1) \quad g(n) < \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} < h(n),$$

$$(2) \quad f(g(n)) > 0 \text{ and } f(h(n)) > 0,$$

$$(3) \quad f^{(i)}(g(n)) \text{ and } f^{(i)}(h(n)) \text{ have the same signs for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

then the sequence  $\{\frac{a_n}{n!}\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies the higher order Turán inequality.



# Combinatorial Sequences

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3(4n+3)(4n+7)}{16(n+1)(n+3)} &< \frac{M_{n+1}}{M_n} < \frac{3(2n+5)}{2(n+4)} \\ \frac{4(n+1)^2 - 2(n+1) + \frac{2}{3}}{(n+1)(n+2)} &< \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} < \frac{4n+6}{n+3} \\ \frac{8n^2 + 8n + \frac{16}{9}}{(n+1)^2} &< \frac{F_{n+1}^{(3)}}{F_n^{(3)}} < \frac{8n^2 + 8n + 3}{(n+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

# Combinatorial Sequences

*Theorem (Wang, Adv. Appl. Math., 2019)*

*The Motzkin numbers, the Fine numbers, the Franel numbers of order 3 and the Domb numbers satisfy the higher order Turán inequality.*

*Theorem (Wang, Adv. Appl. Math., 2019)*

*the 3-th associated Jensen polynomial*

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^3 \binom{n}{k} \frac{a_{n+k}}{(n+k)!} x^k$$

*has only real zeros for the Motzkin numbers, the Fine numbers, the Franel numbers of order 3 the Domb numbers.*

# Combinatorial Sequences

*Conjecture (Wang, Adv. Appl. Math., 2019)*

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  are the sequences of the Motzkin numbers, the Fine numbers, the Franel number of order 3 and the Domb numbers. For any given integer  $m \geq 4$ , there exists a positive integer  $N(m)$  such that for  $n > N(m)$ , the  $m$ -th associated Jensen polynomial

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \frac{a_{n+i}}{(n+i)!} x^i$$

has only real zeros.

# The End

Thank you for your attention