

# Multipartite entangled states and irredundant orthogonal arrays

Zihong Tian

College of Mathematics and Information Science  
Hebei Normal University

2019.8.21

# Content

- 1 Background and Notations
- 2 Two types of  $t$ -uniform states
  - 2-uniform states of  $k = 5, 6, q, q + 1$  qudits
  - 3-uniform states of  $k \geq 8$  qubits
- 3 Further problems

- 1 Background and Notations
- 2 Two types of  $t$ -uniform states
- 3 Further problems

# Background

- Quantum information is an interdisciplinary subject of quantum mechanics and information science, which is closely related to many disciplines such as computer science and mathematics.

# Background

- Quantum information is an interdisciplinary subject of quantum mechanics and information science, which is closely related to many disciplines such as computer science and mathematics.
- The phenomenon of [entanglement](#) is considered to be one of the most striking features of quantum mechanics. Quantum entanglement has been widely applied in quantum information theory, such as quantum key distribution, quantum secure communication, superdense coding and teleportation.

- An important issue concerns the construction of **genuinely multipartite entangled states**. The methods are mainly from the field of quantum information.

---

<sup>a</sup>Goyeneche D, Życzkowski K. Genuinely multi-partite entangled states and orthogonal arrays. Phys. Rev. A., 2014, 90: 022316.

- An important issue concerns the construction of **genuinely multipartite entangled states**. The methods are mainly from the field of quantum information.
- In 2014, Goyeneche and Życzkowski established a link between the combinatorial notion of orthogonal arrays and  $t$ -uniform states. They pointed out that a special orthogonal array, called **irredundant orthogonal array**, is corresponding to a  **$t$ -uniform state**.<sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Goyeneche D, Życzkowski K. Genuinely multi-partite entangled states and orthogonal arrays. Phys. Rev. A., 2014, 90: 022316.

# Some Notations

- $\mathbb{C}^v$ :  $v$ -dimensional complex vector space.



# Some Notations

- $\mathbb{C}^v$ :  $v$ -dimensional complex vector space.
- $\text{ket } |\alpha\rangle$ : a  $v$ -dimensional **column** vector in  $\mathbb{C}^v$ .

# Some Notations

- $\mathbb{C}^v$ :  $v$ -dimensional complex vector space.
- **ket**  $|\alpha\rangle$ : a  $v$ -dimensional **column** vector in  $\mathbb{C}^v$ .
- Given two  $v$ -dimensional vectors

$$|\alpha\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_v \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } |\beta\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_v \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Tensor product:**

$$|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_v \end{pmatrix} \otimes |\beta\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_1|\beta\rangle \\ \vdots \\ a_v|\beta\rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Some Notations

- $\mathbb{C}^v$ :  $v$ -dimensional complex vector space.
- **ket**  $|\alpha\rangle$ : a  $v$ -dimensional **column** vector in  $\mathbb{C}^v$ .
- Given two  $v$ -dimensional vectors

$$|\alpha\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_v \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } |\beta\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_v \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Tensor product:**

$$|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_v \end{pmatrix} \otimes |\beta\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_1|\beta\rangle \\ \vdots \\ a_v|\beta\rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |v-1\rangle\}$  is a standard orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{C}^v$ .

# Some Notations

- **classical bits:** 0 and 1.

# Some Notations

- **classical bits:** 0 and 1.

- **quantum bits:**  $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

# Some Notations

- **classical bits:** 0 and 1.
- **quantum bits:**  $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- **single qubit:**  $|\varphi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ .

# Some Notations

- **classical bits:** 0 and 1.
- **quantum bits:**  $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- **single qubit:**  $|\varphi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ .
- **Multiple qubits**

**Two:**  $|00\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}$ .

**Three:**  $|000\rangle = (|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) \otimes |0\rangle \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 3}$ .

# Some Notations

- **classical bits:** 0 and 1.
- **quantum bits:**  $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- **single qubit:**  $|\varphi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ .
- **Multiple qubits**

**Two:**  $|00\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}$ .

**Three:**  $|000\rangle = (|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) \otimes |0\rangle \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 3}$ .

- $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$  is a standard orthogonal basis of  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}$ .



# Some Notations

- **qudit**: a  $v$ -dimensional quantum system

can be represented as a  $v$ -dimensional column vector  $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^v$ ,

$$|\varphi\rangle = a_0|0\rangle + a_1|1\rangle + \cdots + a_{v-1}|v-1\rangle,$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} |a_i|^2 = 1$ .

$\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |v-1\rangle\}$  is a standard orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{C}^v$ .

# Some Notations

- **qudit**: a  $v$ -dimensional quantum system

can be represented as a  $v$ -dimensional column vector  $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^v$ ,

$$|\varphi\rangle = a_0|0\rangle + a_1|1\rangle + \cdots + a_{v-1}|v-1\rangle,$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} |a_i|^2 = 1$ .

$\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |v-1\rangle\}$  is a standard orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{C}^v$ .

- **single qudit**:

# Some Notations

- **qudit**: a  $v$ -dimensional quantum system

can be represented as a  $v$ -dimensional column vector  $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^v$ ,

$$|\varphi\rangle = a_0|0\rangle + a_1|1\rangle + \cdots + a_{v-1}|v-1\rangle,$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} |a_i|^2 = 1$ .

$\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |v-1\rangle\}$  is a standard orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{C}^v$ .

- **single qudit**:
- **Multiple qudits**:  $|i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k\rangle$ , where  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ .

# Some Notations

- **qudit**: a  $v$ -dimensional quantum system

can be represented as a  $v$ -dimensional column vector  $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^v$ ,

$$|\varphi\rangle = a_0|0\rangle + a_1|1\rangle + \cdots + a_{v-1}|v-1\rangle,$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} |a_i|^2 = 1$ .

$\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |v-1\rangle\}$  is a standard orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{C}^v$ .

- **single qudit**:
- **Multiple qudits**:  $|i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k\rangle$ , where  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ .
- $\{|i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k\rangle = |i_1\rangle \otimes |i_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |i_k\rangle : (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_v^k\}$  is a standard orthogonal basis of  $(\mathbb{C}^v)^{\otimes k}$ .

# Some Notations

In a quantum system composed of  $k$  subsystems,

## Quantum state

A **Quantum state**  $|\psi\rangle$  of a system consisting of  $k$  qudits with  $v$ -dimensional can be defined as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} |i_1 i_2 \dots i_k\rangle,$$

where  $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ , and  $\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} |a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}|^2 = 1$ .

# Some Notations

In a quantum system composed of  $k$  subsystems,

## Quantum state

A **Quantum state**  $|\psi\rangle$  of a system consisting of  $k$  qudits with  $v$ -dimensional can be defined as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} |i_1 i_2 \dots i_k\rangle,$$

where  $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ , and  $\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} |a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}|^2 = 1$ .

- $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^v \otimes \mathbb{C}^v \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^v = (\mathbb{C}^v)^{\otimes k} \cong \mathbb{C}^{v^k}$ .

# Some Notations

- **Separable state:**

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} |v\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

# Some Notations

- **Separable state:**

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} |v\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

- **Entangled state:**

Example- Bell state

$$|v\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle).$$



# Some Notations

- **Separable state:**

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} |v\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

- **Entangled state:**

Example- Bell state

$$|v\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle).$$

- **Maximally entangled state**

# Some Notations

- **Separable state:**

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} |v\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

- **Entangled state:**

Example- Bell state

$$|v\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle).$$

- **Maximally entangled state**

- **Absolutely maximally entangled state (AME)**

# Definitions

*t*-uniform state

# Definitions

## $t$ -uniform state

A quantum state of  $k$  subsystems with local dimension  $v$  is called a  $t$ -uniform state, if all its reductions to  $t$  qudits are maximally mixed, where  $t \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ .

# Definitions

## $t$ -uniform state

A quantum state of  $k$  subsystems with local dimension  $v$  is called a  $t$ -uniform state, if all its reductions to  $t$  qudits are maximally mixed, where  $t \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ .

- A  $t$ -uniform state is also a  $s$ -uniform state, where  $s \leq t$ .

# Definitions

## $t$ -uniform state

A quantum state of  $k$  subsystems with local dimension  $v$  is called a  $t$ -uniform state, if all its reductions to  $t$  qudits are maximally mixed, where  $t \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ .

- A  $t$ -uniform state is also a  $s$ -uniform state, where  $s \leq t$ .
- The **absolutely maximally entangled state** ( $\text{AME}(k, v)$ ), is the extremal case of  $t$ -uniform state,  $t = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ .

# Definitions

## $t$ -uniform state

A quantum state of  $k$  subsystems with local dimension  $v$  is called a  $t$ -uniform state, if all its reductions to  $t$  qudits are maximally mixed, where  $t \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ .

- A  $t$ -uniform state is also a  $s$ -uniform state, where  $s \leq t$ .
- The absolutely maximally entangled state (AME( $k, v$ )), is the extremal case of  $t$ -uniform state,  $t = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ .

# Example

**Example** : 2-uniform state of 5 qudits with local dimension 4.



# Example

**Example** : 2-uniform state of 5 qudits with local dimension 4.

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_5\rangle = & \frac{1}{4}(|00000\rangle + |01111\rangle + |02222\rangle + |03333\rangle \\ & + |10123\rangle + |11032\rangle + |12301\rangle + |13210\rangle \\ & + |20231\rangle + |21320\rangle + |22013\rangle + |23102\rangle \\ & + |30312\rangle + |31203\rangle + |32130\rangle + |33021\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

# Known results on $t$ -uniform states

when  $t = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$

- Scott, Facchi and Helwig constructed three kinds of  $\text{AME}(k, 2)$  states for  $k = 2, 3, 5$  and  $6$  by quantum additive codes, group acting and graph states, respectively. When  $k = 4$  or  $k \geq 7$ , there doesn't exist  $\text{AME}(k, 2)$  states.<sup>abcd</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Scott A J. Multipartite entanglement, quantum-error-correcting codes, and entangling power of quantum evolutions. Phys. Rev. A., 2004, 69: 052330.

<sup>b</sup>Facchi P. Multipartite entanglement in qubit systems. Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., 2009, 20: 25-67.

<sup>c</sup>Helwig W. Absolutely maximally entangled qudit graph states. arXiv: quant-ph/1306.2879.

<sup>d</sup>Huber F, Gühne O, Siewert J. Absolutely maximally entangled states of seven qubits do not exist. Phys. Rev. Lett., 2017, 118: 200502.

when  $t = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$

- Goyeneche et al. constructed a kind of AME( $k, p$ ) states with  $k = 3, 4$  for prime  $p > 2$  by multiunitary matrix. <sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Goyeneche D, Alsina D, Latorre J, Riera A, Życzkowski K. Absolutely Maximally Entangled states, combinatorial designs and multi-unitary matrices. Phys. Rev. A., 2015, 92: 032316.

<sup>b</sup>Feng K Q, Jin L F, Xing C P, Yuan C. Multipartite entangled states, symmetric matrices and error-correcting codes. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory., 2017, 63: 5618-5627.

<sup>c</sup>Raissi Z, Gogolin C, Riera A, Acin A. Constructing optimal quantum error correcting codes from absolute maximally entangled states. arXiv: 1701.03359.

when  $t = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$

- Goyeneche et al. constructed a kind of AME( $k, p$ ) states with  $k = 3, 4$  for prime  $p > 2$  by multiunitary matrix. <sup>a</sup>
- Feng et al. constructed a kind of AME( $k, p$ ) state with any given even  $k$  for sufficiently large prime  $p$  by symmetric matrix. <sup>b</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Goyeneche D, Alsina D, Latorre J, Riera A, Życzkowski K. Absolutely Maximally Entangled states, combinatorial designs and multi-unitary matrices. Phys. Rev. A., 2015, 92: 032316.

<sup>b</sup>Feng K Q, Jin L F, Xing C P, Yuan C. Multipartite entangled states, symmetric matrices and error-correcting codes. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory., 2017, 63: 5618-5627.

<sup>c</sup>Raissi Z, Gogolin C, Riera A, Acin A. Constructing optimal quantum error correcting codes from absolute maximally entangled states. arXiv: 1701.03359.

when  $t = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$

- Goyeneche et al. constructed a kind of  $\text{AME}(k, p)$  states with  $k = 3, 4$  for prime  $p > 2$  by multiunitary matrix. <sup>a</sup>
- Feng et al. constructed a kind of  $\text{AME}(k, p)$  state with any given even  $k$  for sufficiently large prime  $p$  by symmetric matrix. <sup>b</sup>
- Raissi et al. constructed a kind of  $\text{AME}(k, q)$  states with prime power  $q$  for any  $q \geq k - 1$  by linear MDS codes. <sup>c</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Goyeneche D, Alsina D, Latorre J, Riera A, Życzkowski K. Absolutely Maximally Entangled states, combinatorial designs and multi-unitary matrices. *Phys. Rev. A.*, 2015, 92: 032316.

<sup>b</sup>Feng K Q, Jin L F, Xing C P, Yuan C. Multipartite entangled states, symmetric matrices and error-correcting codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory.*, 2017, 63: 5618-5627.

<sup>c</sup>Raissi Z, Gogolin C, Riera A, Acin A. Constructing optimal quantum error correcting codes from absolute maximally entangled states. *arXiv: 1701.03359.*

when  $t = 2$

- Goyeneche et al. constructed a kind of 2-uniform states of  $k > 5$  qubits by Hadamard matrix.<sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Goyeneche D, Życzkowski K. Genuinely multi-partite entangled states and orthogonal arrays. Phys. Rev. A., 2014, 90: 022316.

<sup>b</sup>Pang S Q, Zhang X, Lin X, Zhang Q J. Two and three-uniform states from irredundant orthogonal arrays. npj Quantum Inf., 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-019-0165-8>.

<sup>c</sup>Li M S, Wang Y L.  $k$ -uniform quantum states arising from orthogonal arrays. Phys. Rev. A., 2019, 99: 042332.

when  $t = 2$

- Goyeneche et al. constructed a kind of 2-uniform states of  $k > 5$  qubits by Hadamard matrix.<sup>a</sup>
- Pang and Li et al. constructed a kind of 2-uniform states of and  $k \geq 6$  qudits with local dimension of  $d > 2$  by irredundant orthogonal array.<sup>b,c</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Goyeneche D, Życzkowski K. Genuinely multi-partite entangled states and orthogonal arrays. Phys. Rev. A., 2014, 90: 022316.

<sup>b</sup>Pang S Q, Zhang X, Lin X, Zhang Q J. Two and three-uniform states from irredundant orthogonal arrays. npj Quantum Inf., 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-019-0165-8>.

<sup>c</sup>Li M S, Wang Y L.  $k$ -uniform quantum states arising from orthogonal arrays. Phys. Rev. A., 2019, 99: 042332.

- Zang et al. constructed a kind of 3-uniform states of  $k \geq 8$  qubits by Hadamard matrix and adding minus signs.<sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Zang Y J, Zuo H J, Tian Z H. 3-Uniform states and orthogonal arrays of strength 3. *Int. J. Quantum Inf.*, 2019,17: 195003.

<sup>b</sup>Feng K Q, Jin L F, Xing C P, Yuan C. Multipartite entangled states, symmetric matrices and error-correcting codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory.*, 2017, 63: 5618-5627.

<sup>c</sup>Pang S Q, Zhang X, Lin X, Zhang Q J. Two and three-uniform states from irredundant orthogonal arrays. *npj Quantum Inf.*, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-019-0165-8>.



- Zang et al. constructed a kind of 3-uniform states of  $k \geq 8$  qubits by Hadamard matrix and adding minus signs.<sup>a</sup>
- Feng et al. constructed a kind of 3-uniform states of  $k \geq 8$  qudits with local dimension of prime  $p$  by symmetric matrix.<sup>b</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Zang Y J, Zuo H J, Tian Z H. 3-Uniform states and orthogonal arrays of strength 3. *Int. J. Quantum Inf.*, 2019,17: 195003.

<sup>b</sup>Feng K Q, Jin L F, Xing C P, Yuan C. Multipartite entangled states, symmetric matrices and error-correcting codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory.*, 2017, 63: 5618-5627.

<sup>c</sup>Pang S Q, Zhang X, Lin X, Zhang Q J. Two and three-uniform states from irredundant orthogonal arrays. *npj Quantum Inf.*, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-019-0165-8>.

- Zang et al. constructed a kind of 3-uniform states of  $k \geq 8$  qubits by Hadamard matrix and adding minus signs.<sup>a</sup>
- Feng et al. constructed a kind of 3-uniform states of  $k \geq 8$  qudits with local dimension of prime  $p$  by symmetric matrix.<sup>b</sup>
- Pang et al. constructed a kind of 3-uniform states of  $k = 8$  and  $k \geq 12$  qudits with local dimension of non-prime power  $d \geq 6$  by irredundant orthogonal array.<sup>c</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Zang Y J, Zuo H J, Tian Z H. 3-Uniform states and orthogonal arrays of strength 3. *Int. J. Quantum Inf.*, 2019,17: 195003.

<sup>b</sup>Feng K Q, Jin L F, Xing C P, Yuan C. Multipartite entangled states, symmetric matrices and error-correcting codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory.*, 2017, 63: 5618-5627.

<sup>c</sup>Pang S Q, Zhang X, Lin X, Zhang Q J. Two and three-uniform states from irredundant orthogonal arrays. *npj Quantum Inf.*, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-019-0165-8>.

- **Orthogonal array (OA)** is a combinatorial structure introduced by the statistician C. R. Rao in 1947 when he studied experimental designs.<sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Rao C R. Factorial experiments derivable from combinatorial arrangements of arrays. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Suppl.*, 1947, 9: 128-139.

- **Orthogonal array (OA)** is a combinatorial structure introduced by the statistician C. R. Rao in 1947 when he studied experimental designs.<sup>a</sup>
- Orthogonal array is also an important object in combinatorial designs and statistics. It has been studied by many researchers and obtained abundant achievements.

---

<sup>a</sup>Rao C R. Factorial experiments derivable from combinatorial arrangements of arrays. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Suppl.*, 1947, 9: 128-139.

- **Orthogonal array (OA)** is a combinatorial structure introduced by the statistician C. R. Rao in 1947 when he studied experimental designs.<sup>a</sup>
- Orthogonal array is also an important object in combinatorial designs and statistics. It has been studied by many researchers and obtained abundant achievements.
- Orthogonal arrays have been widely applied in experimental design, computer science, coding cryptography, network communication theory, software engineering and so on.

---

<sup>a</sup>Rao C R. Factorial experiments derivable from combinatorial arrangements of arrays. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Suppl.*, 1947, 9: 128-139.

# Definitions

OA

# Definitions

## OA

An **orthogonal array (OA)** of size  $N$ , factor  $k$ , level  $v$ , strength  $t$  and index  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $OA(N; t, k, v)$  or  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ , is an  $N \times k$  array with entries from a set  $V$  of  $v$  symbols, such that in every  $N \times t$  sub-array, each  $t$ -tuple on  $V$  occurs exactly  $\lambda$  time.

## OA

An **orthogonal array (OA)** of size  $N$ , factor  $k$ , level  $v$ , strength  $t$  and index  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $OA(N; t, k, v)$  or  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ , is an  $N \times k$  array with entries from a set  $V$  of  $v$  symbols, such that in every  $N \times t$  sub-array, each  $t$ -tuple on  $V$  occurs exactly  $\lambda$  time.

- $N = \lambda v^t$ .



# Definitions

## OA

An **orthogonal array (OA)** of size  $N$ , factor  $k$ , level  $v$ , strength  $t$  and index  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $OA(N; t, k, v)$  or  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ , is an  $N \times k$  array with entries from a set  $V$  of  $v$  symbols, such that in every  $N \times t$  sub-array, each  $t$ -tuple on  $V$  occurs exactly  $\lambda$  time.

- $N = \lambda v^t$ .
- When  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$  is denoted as  $OA(t, k, v)$ .

# Definition

Irredundant orthogonal array (IrOA)

# Definition

## Irredundant orthogonal array (IrOA)

An orthogonal array  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$  is called *irredundant*, written *IrOA*, if when removing from the array any  $t$  columns all remaining rows, containing  $k - t$  symbols each, are different.

# Definition

## Irredundant orthogonal array (IrOA)

An orthogonal array  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$  is called *irredundant*, written *IrOA*, if when removing from the array any  $t$  columns all remaining rows, containing  $k - t$  symbols each, are different.

- An  $OA(t, k, v)$  ( $k \geq 2t$ ) is actually an  $IrOA(t, k, v)$ .

# Definition

## Irredundant orthogonal array (IrOA)

An orthogonal array  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$  is called *irredundant*, written *IrOA*, if when removing from the array any  $t$  columns all remaining rows, containing  $k - t$  symbols each, are different.

- An  $OA(t, k, v)$  ( $k \geq 2t$ ) is actually an  $IrOA(t, k, v)$ .
- An  $IrOA_\lambda(t, k, v)$  exists only if  $\lambda \leq v^{k-2t}$ .

# Example

**Example** :  $OA(8; 2, 6, 2)$

# Example

**Example** :  $OA(8; 2, 6, 2)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Example

**Example** :  $OA(8; 2, 6, 2)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**It is also an  $IrOA(8; 2, 6, 2)$ .**



# Relationship between OAs and t-uniform states

**Example** : The corresponding quantum state with  $IrOA(8; 2, 6, 2)$ :

# Relationship between OAs and t-uniform states

**Example** : The corresponding quantum state with  $IrOA(8; 2, 6, 2)$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Relationship between OAs and t-uniform states

**Example** : The corresponding quantum state with  $IrOA(8; 2, 6, 2)$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|111111\rangle + |101010\rangle \\ + |001100\rangle + |011001\rangle \\ + |110000\rangle + |100101\rangle \\ + |000011\rangle + |010110\rangle)$$

# Relationship between OAs and $t$ -uniform states

## Parameters correspondence

	Orthogonal array	Multipartite quantum state $ \psi\rangle$
$N$	Runs	Number of linear terms in the state
$k$	Factors	Number of qudits
$v$	Levels	Dimension of the subsystem ( $v = 2$ for qubits)
$t$	Strength	Class of entanglement ( $t$ -uniform)

# Known results on OA

$$t = 2, k = 5, 6$$

$$t = 3, k = 5, 6$$

---

<sup>a</sup>Ji L. and Yin J. Construction of new orthogonal arrays and covering arrays of strength three. *J. Combin. Theory Ser.A*, 2010, **117**: 236-247.

# Known results on OA

$t = 2, k = 5, 6$

- If  $v \notin \{2, 3, 6, 10\}$ , then an  $OA(2, 5, v)$  exists.

$t = 3, k = 5, 6$

---

<sup>a</sup>Ji L. and Yin J. Construction of new orthogonal arrays and covering arrays of strength three. *J. Combin. Theory Ser.A*, 2010, **117**: 236-247.

# Known results on OA

$t = 2, k = 5, 6$

- If  $v \notin \{2, 3, 6, 10\}$ , then an  $OA(2, 5, v)$  exists.
- If  $v \notin \{2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 22\}$ , then an  $OA(2, 6, v)$  exists.

$t = 3, k = 5, 6$

---

<sup>a</sup>Ji L. and Yin J. Construction of new orthogonal arrays and covering arrays of strength three. *J. Combin. Theory Ser.A*, 2010, **117**: 236-247.

# Known results on OA

$t = 2, k = 5, 6$

- If  $v \notin \{2, 3, 6, 10\}$ , then an  $OA(2, 5, v)$  exists.
- If  $v \notin \{2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 22\}$ , then an  $OA(2, 6, v)$  exists.

$t = 3, k = 5, 6$

- Let  $v \geq 4$ . If  $v \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then an  $OA(3, 5, v)$  exists.

---

<sup>a</sup>Ji L. and Yin J. Construction of new orthogonal arrays and covering arrays of strength three. *J. Combin. Theory Ser.A*, 2010, **117**: 236-247.



# Known results on OA

$t = 2, k = 5, 6$

- If  $v \notin \{2, 3, 6, 10\}$ , then an  $OA(2, 5, v)$  exists.
- If  $v \notin \{2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 22\}$ , then an  $OA(2, 6, v)$  exists.

$t = 3, k = 5, 6$

- Let  $v \geq 4$ . If  $v \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then an  $OA(3, 5, v)$  exists.
- Let  $v$  satisfy  $\gcd(v, 4) \neq 2$  and  $\gcd(v, 18) \neq 3$ . Then there is an  $OA(3, 6, v)$ . Besides, an  $OA(3, 6, 3u)$  with  $u \in \{5, 7\}$  exists.<sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Ji L. and Yin J. Construction of new orthogonal arrays and covering arrays of strength three. *J. Combin. Theory Ser.A*, 2010, **117**: 236-247.

# Known results on OA

- If  $q$  is a prime power and  $t < q$ , then an  $OA(t, q + 1, q)$  exists. Moreover, if  $q \geq 4$  is a power of 2, an  $OA(3, q + 2, q)$  exists.

---

<sup>a</sup>Colbourn C J, Dinitz J H. The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs. 2nd, Boca Raton: *CRC Press*, 2007.

# Known results on OA

- If  $q$  is a prime power and  $t < q$ , then an  $OA(t, q + 1, q)$  exists. Moreover, if  $q \geq 4$  is a power of 2, an  $OA(3, q + 2, q)$  exists.
- Suppose that  $v = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$  is a standard factorization of  $v$  into distinct prime powers. If  $q_i > t$ , then an  $OA(t, k + 1, v)$  exists, where  $k = \min\{q_i : 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ .<sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Colbourn C J, Dinitz J H. The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs. 2nd, Boca Raton: *CRC Press*, 2007.

- 1 Background and Notations
- 2 Two types of  $t$ -uniform states
  - 2-uniform states of  $k = 5, 6, q, q + 1$  qudits
  - 3-uniform states of  $k \geq 8$  qubits
- 3 Further problems

# Research contents

In this talk, we mainly construct some kinds of 2-uniform states of  $k = 5, 6$  quidts with any local dimension and  $k = q, q + 1$  quidts with local dimension  $q$ , where  $q$  is a prime power.

i.e.,  $IrOA_\lambda(2, k, v)$ , where  $k = 5, 6$  with  $\lambda \leq v, \lambda \leq v^2$  respectively;

$IrOA_\lambda(2, k, q)$ , where  $k = q, q + 1$  with  $\lambda \leq v^{q-4}$ .

# Auxiliary designs

## Row-divisible OAs

# Auxiliary designs

## Row-divisible OAs

Let  $A$  be an  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ . Suppose that the rows of  $A$  can be partitioned into  $\mu$  subarrays such that any  $k - t$  columns of each subarray contains no identical rows, then we call  $A$  a  **$\mu$ -row-divisible**  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ .

# Auxiliary designs

## Row-divisible OAs

Let  $A$  be an  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ . Suppose that the rows of  $A$  can be partitioned into  $\mu$  subarrays such that any  $k - t$  columns of each subarray contains no identical rows, then we call  $A$  a  $\mu$ -row-divisible  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ .

- An *IrOA* is a 1-row-divisible  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$



# Auxiliary designs

## Row-divisible OAs

Let  $A$  be an  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ . Suppose that the rows of  $A$  can be partitioned into  $\mu$  subarrays such that any  $k - t$  columns of each subarray contains no identical rows, then we call  $A$  a  $\mu$ -row-divisible  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ .

- An *IrOA* is a 1-row-divisible  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$

## Compatible OAs

# Auxiliary designs

## Row-divisible OAs

Let  $A$  be an  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ . Suppose that the rows of  $A$  can be partitioned into  $\mu$  subarrays such that any  $k - t$  columns of each subarray contains no identical rows, then we call  $A$  a  $\mu$ -row-divisible  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ .

- An  $IrOA$  is a 1-row-divisible  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$

## Compatible OAs

Let  $A_1$  be an  $IrOA_{\lambda_1}(t, k, v)$  and  $A_2$  be an  $IrOA_{\lambda_2}(t, k, v)$  over the same set  $V$ .  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are **compatible** if their superimposition constitutes an  $IrOA_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}(t, k, v)$  over  $V$ .

# Auxiliary designs

## Row-divisible OAs

Let  $A$  be an  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ . Suppose that the rows of  $A$  can be partitioned into  $\mu$  subarrays such that any  $k - t$  columns of each subarray contains no identical rows, then we call  $A$  a  $\mu$ -row-divisible  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$ .

- An *IrOA* is a 1-row-divisible  $OA_\lambda(t, k, v)$

## Compatible OAs

Let  $A_1$  be an  $IrOA_{\lambda_1}(t, k, v)$  and  $A_2$  be an  $IrOA_{\lambda_2}(t, k, v)$  over the same set  $V$ .  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are **compatible** if their superimposition constitutes an  $IrOA_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}(t, k, v)$  over  $V$ .

- A set of  $\omega$  *IrOAs* over the same set  $V$  are termed **compatible** if they are pairwise compatible.

# Construction methods

## Construction 1 (Superposing)

For any non-negative integers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$ ,

$\mu_i$  - row - divisible  $OA_{\lambda_i}(t, k, v), 1 \leq i \leq r$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \mu_i$  - row - divisible  $OA_{\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \lambda_i}(t, k, v)$ .

# Construction methods

## Construction 1 (Superposing)

For any non-negative integers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$ ,

$\mu_i$  - row - divisible  $OA_{\lambda_i}(t, k, v), 1 \leq i \leq r$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \mu_i$  - row - divisible  $OA_{\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \lambda_i}(t, k, v)$ .

## Construction 2 (Weighting)

Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be two positive integers,

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mu_1 \text{ - row - divisible } OA_{\lambda_1}(t, k, v_1) \\ \mu_2 \text{ - row - divisible } OA_{\lambda_2}(t, k, v_2) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \mu_1 \mu_2 \text{ - row - divisible } OA_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}(t, k, v_1 v_2).$

# Construction methods

## Construction 3

Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be two positive integers;  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  be  $r$  non-negative integers; and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$  be  $2r$  positive integers. Moreover they satisfies  $m_1\mu_1 + m_2\mu_2 + \dots + m_r\mu_r \leq \mu$ . Then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mu \text{ compatible } IrOA_{\eta}(t, k, v_2)s \\ \mu_i - \text{row} - \text{divisible } OA_{\lambda_i}(t, k, v_1) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow IrOA_{\eta \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \lambda_i}(t, k, v_1 v_2).$$

# Construction methods

## Construction 3

Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be two positive integers;  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  be  $r$  non-negative integers; and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$  be  $2r$  positive integers. Moreover they satisfies  $m_1\mu_1 + m_2\mu_2 + \dots + m_r\mu_r \leq \mu$ . Then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mu \text{ compatible } IrOA_{\eta}(t, k, v_2)s \\ \mu_i - \text{row} - \text{divisible } OA_{\lambda_i}(t, k, v_1) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow IrOA_{\eta \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \lambda_i}(t, k, v_1 v_2).$$

## Construction 4

If an  $OA(k-t, 2(k-t), v)$  exists, then  $v^{k-2t}$  compatible  $OA(t, k, v)$ s exist, so does an  $IrOA_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$  for any  $\lambda \leq v^{k-2t}$ .

# Construction methods

## Corollary

Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be two positive integers;  $m_1, m_2$  be two non-negative integers; and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$  be four positive integers. Moreover they satisfies  $m_1\mu_1 + m_2\mu_2 \leq \mu$ . Then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mu \text{ compatible } IrOA(t, k, v_2)s \\ \mu_1 - \text{row} - \text{divisible } OA_{\lambda_1}(t, k, v_1) \\ \mu_2 - \text{row} - \text{divisible } OA_{\lambda_2}(t, k, v_1) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow IrOA_{\eta(m_1\lambda_1 + m_2\lambda_2)}(t, k, v_1v_2).$$



# Main results for $t = 2$ and $k = 5$

## Theorem 1

If  $v$  be a positive integer which satisfies  $\gcd(v, 4) \neq 2$  and  $\gcd(v, 18) \neq 3$ , then an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, 5, v)$  exists for any  $\lambda \leq v$ .

# Main results for $t = 2$ and $k = 5$

## Theorem 1

If  $v$  be a positive integer which satisfies  $\gcd(v, 4) \neq 2$  and  $\gcd(v, 18) \neq 3$ , then an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, 5, v)$  exists for any  $\lambda \leq v$ .

## Theorem 2

(1) Let  $v = 2m$ , where  $(m, 2) = 1$  and  $\gcd(m, 18) \neq 3$ , then an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, 5, v)$  exists for any  $2 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}v - 1$ .

(2) Let  $v = 3m$ , where  $(m, 3) = 1, m \geq 5$ , and  $\gcd(m, 4) \neq 2$ , then an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, 5, v)$  exists for any  $\lambda$  with even  $2 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{3}v$  and odd  $3 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{3}v - 3$ .

(3) Let  $v = 6m$ , where  $(m, 6) = 1$ , then an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, 5, v)$  exists for any  $\lambda$  with even  $2 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{3}v - 2$  and odd  $3 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{3}v - 11$ .

# Main results for $t = 2$ and $k = 6$

## Theorem 3

If  $v = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$  is a standard factorization of  $v$  into distinct prime powers where  $q_i \geq 7$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , then an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, 6, v)$  exists for any  $\lambda \leq v^2$ .

# Main results for $t = 2$ and $k = 6$

## Theorem 3

If  $v = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$  is a standard factorization of  $v$  into distinct prime powers where  $q_i \geq 7$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , then an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, 6, v)$  exists for any  $\lambda \leq v^2$ .

## Theorem 4

Let  $v = sm$ ,  $(m, s) = 1$ , and  $m$  with a standard prime powers factorization of  $q_1 q_2 \dots q_l$  satisfying  $q_i \geq 7$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

(1) when  $s \in \{2, 4, 6, 10, 12, 20, 30\}$ , an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, 6, v)$  exists for any  $\lambda$  with even  $2 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{s^2}v^2$  and odd  $3 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{s^2}v^2 - 3$ .

(2) when  $s \in \{3, 5, 15, 60\}$ , an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, 6, v)$  exists for any  $\lambda$  with  $2 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{s^2}v^2$ .

# Main results for $t = 2$ and $k = q, q + 1$

## Theorem 5

Let  $q$  be a prime power with  $q > 3$ , then there exist an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, q, q)$  and an  $IrOA_\lambda(2, q + 1, q)$  for any  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda \leq q^2 - 5q + 5$ .

## 3-uniform states

For 3-uniform states, we mainly discuss the existence of  $k \geq 8$  qubits.

i.e.,  $IrOA(N; 3, k, 2)$ , where  $k \geq 8$  except for 9.

# Hadamard matrix

## Hadamard matrix

A **Hadamard matrix** of order  $n$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $H_n$  of  $+1$ 's and  $-1$ 's whose rows are orthogonal, i.e. which satisfies

$$H_n H_n^T = nI_n,$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, and  $H_n^T$  is the transpose of  $H_n$ .



## Hadamard matrix

A **Hadamard matrix** of order  $n$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $H_n$  of  $+1$ 's and  $-1$ 's whose rows are orthogonal, i.e. which satisfies

$$H_n H_n^T = nI_n,$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, and  $H_n^T$  is the transpose of  $H_n$ .

- A Hadamard matrix is called **normalized**, if its every entry in the first row or column is “1”.

## 3-uniform states

### Construction 5

If  $H_n$  is a normalized Hadamard matrix of order  $n$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_n \\ -H_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is an orthogonal array  $OA(2n, 3, n, 2)$  of  $+1$ 's and  $-1$ 's. Moreover, if all elements equaling to  $-1$ s are replaced with 0s, then an orthogonal array  $OA(2n, 3, n, 2)$  of  $1$ 's and  $0$ 's exists.

# Main results

There exists a 3-uniform state of 9 qubits.

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_9\rangle = & - |000000000\rangle - |000001111\rangle + |000110011\rangle + |000111100\rangle \\ & + |001010101\rangle + |001011010\rangle - |001100110\rangle - |001101001\rangle \\ & - |010010110\rangle - |010011001\rangle + |010100101\rangle + |010101010\rangle \\ & + |011000011\rangle + |011001100\rangle - |011110000\rangle - |011111111\rangle \\ & + |100010111\rangle + |100011000\rangle + |100100100\rangle + |100101011\rangle \\ & + |101000010\rangle + |101001101\rangle + |101110001\rangle + |101111110\rangle \\ & + |110000001\rangle + |110001110\rangle + |110110010\rangle + |110111101\rangle \\ & + |111010100\rangle + |111011011\rangle + |111100111\rangle + |111101000\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

# Main results

## Theorem 6

There exists a 3-uniform state of  $k$  qubits for every  $k \geq 8$ .

# Main results

## Theorem 6

There exists a 3-uniform state of  $k$  qubits for every  $k \geq 8$ .

- 1 Background and Notations
- 2 Two types of  $t$ -uniform states
- 3 Further problems

# Further problems

- **Problem 1:** Find new methods, construct some IrOAs of strength 2, with large index  $\lambda$ .

# Further problems

- **Problem 1:** Find new methods, construct some IrOAs of strength 2, with large index  $\lambda$ .
- **Problem 2:** Construct more IrOAs of strength  $t \geq 3$  with any  $1 < \lambda \leq v^{k-2t}$ .



*Thank you  
for your attention!*