
Supplementary Material for “Extending multivariate-*t* linear mixed models for multiple longitudinal data with censored responses and heavy tails”

Statistical Methods in Medical Research

XX(X):1–8

© The Author(s) 2015

Reprints and permission:

sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav

DOI: 10.1177/ToBeAssigned

www.sagepub.com/



Wan-Lun Wang¹, Tsung-I Lin^{2,3} and Victor H. Lachos⁴

This supporting information is a longer version of the printed paper. It contains the detailed proofs of Propositions 2 and 3, and the missing information matrix of β together with Supplementary Table for the simulation study.

Web Appendix A: Proof of Proposition 2.

Using the fact of $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{O}_i^T \mathbf{y}_i^o + \mathbf{C}_i^T \mathbf{y}_i^c$, it follows from Proposition 3 of Matos et al. (2013) and Proposition 1 of this paper that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i}^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i] (\mathbf{O}_i^T \mathbf{y}_i^o + \mathbf{C}_i^T \mathbf{y}_i^c) | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\ &= \mathbf{O}_i^T E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)} \right] \mathbf{y}_i^o + \mathbf{C}_i^T E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) \mathbf{y}_i^c | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)} \right] \\ &= \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} (\mathbf{O}_i^T \mathbf{y}_i^o + \mathbf{C}_i^T E[\mathbf{W}_i^c]),\end{aligned}$$

¹Department of Statistics, Graduate Institute of Statistics and Actuarial Science, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

²Institute of Statistics, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung 402, Taiwan

³Department of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung 404, Taiwan

⁴Departamento de Estatística, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brazil

Corresponding author:

Wan-Lun Wang, Department of Statistics, Feng Chia University, No. 100, Wenhwa Rd., Seatwen, Taichung 40724, Taiwan.
Email: wlunwang@fcu.edu.tw

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\tau y_i^2}^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^\top | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i}[E_{\tau_i}[\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i](\mathbf{O}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_i^o + \mathbf{C}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_i^c)(\mathbf{O}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_i^o + \mathbf{C}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_i^c)^\top | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}]] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i}\left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}}\right) | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}\right] \mathbf{O}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_i^o \mathbf{y}_i^{o\top} \mathbf{O}_i + \mathbf{O}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_i^o E_{\mathbf{y}_i}\left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}}\right) \mathbf{y}_i^c \mathbf{y}_i^{c\top} | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}\right] \mathbf{C}_i \\
&\quad + \mathbf{C}_i^\top E_{\mathbf{y}_i}\left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}}\right) \mathbf{y}_i^c | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}\right] \mathbf{y}_i^{o\top} \mathbf{O}_i + \mathbf{C}_i^\top E_{\mathbf{y}_i}\left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}}\right) \mathbf{y}_i^c \mathbf{y}_i^{c\top} | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}\right] \mathbf{C}_i \\
&= \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} \left\{ \mathbf{O}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_i^o \mathbf{y}_i^{o\top} \mathbf{O}_i + \mathbf{O}_i^\top \mathbf{y}_i^o E[\mathbf{W}_i^c] \mathbf{C}_i + \mathbf{C}_i^\top E[\mathbf{W}_i^c] \mathbf{y}_i^{o\top} \mathbf{O}_i + \mathbf{C}_i^\top E[\mathbf{W}_i^c \mathbf{W}_i^{c\top}] \mathbf{C}_i \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the detailed derivations of $\hat{\tau}_i^{(h)}$ and \mathbf{W}_i^c are given in the proof of Web Appendix B. Note that throughout the supplementary material, we use the notation, e.g., $E_X[f(X, \dots) | y, z, \dots]$, to indicate that the term inside $f(X, \dots)$ needed to be integrated over the conditional distribution X given y, z, \dots is only X under this conditional expectation.

According to the hierarchical formulation of MtLMMC given in (4), we use the standard matrix factorizations (cf. Anderson (2003), Appendix A.3) to obtain

$$\mathbf{b}_i | \mathbf{y}_i, \tau_i \sim \mathcal{N}_q(\Sigma_{\mathbf{b}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}), \tau_i^{-1} \Sigma_{\mathbf{b}_i}).$$

This conditional distribution is useful for evaluating the desired conditional moments of latent data, including

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\tau b_i}^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i \mathbf{b}_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i}[E_{\tau_i}[\tau_i E_{\mathbf{b}_i}(\mathbf{b}_i | \mathbf{y}_i, \tau_i) | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i}[E_{\tau_i}[\tau_i \Sigma_{\mathbf{b}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i}[\Sigma_{\mathbf{b}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} E_{\tau_i}[\tau_i (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i}[\Sigma_{\mathbf{b}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) \right] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= \hat{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \hat{\mathbf{R}}_i^{(h)-1} (\widehat{\tau y_i}^{(h)} - \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} \mathbf{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(h)}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\tau b_i^2}^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i E_{\mathbf{b}_i} (\mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top | \mathbf{y}_i, \tau_i) | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{b}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta})^\top \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{b}_i} + \tau_i^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{b}_i}) | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \hat{\mathbf{R}}_i^{(h)^{-1}} E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta})^\top | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \hat{\mathbf{R}}_i^{(h)^{-1}} \mathbf{Z}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} \\
&= \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \hat{\mathbf{R}}_i^{(h)^{-1}} E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) (\mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^\top - \mathbf{y}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}_i^\top - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{y}_i^\top + \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}_i^\top) | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)} \right] \\
&\quad \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}_i^{(h)^{-1}} \mathbf{Z}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} \\
&= \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top \hat{\mathbf{R}}_i^{(h)^{-1}} \left[\widehat{\tau y_i^2}^{(h)} - \widehat{\tau y_i}^{(h)} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(h)\top} \mathbf{X}_i^\top - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(h)} \widehat{\tau y_i}^{(h)\top} + \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} \mathbf{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(h)} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(h)\top} \mathbf{X}_i^\top \right] \\
&\quad \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}_i^{(h)^{-1}} \mathbf{Z}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\tau y b_i}^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i E_{\mathbf{b}_i} (\mathbf{b}_i^\top | \mathbf{y}_i, \tau_i) | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta})^\top \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{b}_i} | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) [\mathbf{y}_i (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta})^\top] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)} \right] \hat{\mathbf{R}}_i^{(h)^{-1}} \mathbf{Z}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)} \\
&= (\widehat{\tau y_i^2}^{(h)} - \widehat{\tau y_i}^{(h)} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(h)\top} \mathbf{X}_i^\top) \hat{\mathbf{R}}_i^{(h)^{-1}} \mathbf{Z}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathbf{b}_i}^{(h)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\tau E_i}^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{b}_i) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{b}_i)^\top | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i E_{\mathbf{b}_i} ((\mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^\top - \mathbf{y}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}_i^\top - \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top \mathbf{Z}_i^\top - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{y}_i^\top - \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{y}_i^\top + \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}_i^\top \\
&\quad + \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_i^\top \mathbf{Z}_i^\top + \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{b}_i^\top \mathbf{Z}_i^\top + \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{b}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}_i^\top) | \mathbf{y}_i, \tau_i] | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\
&= \widehat{\tau y_i^2}^{(h)} - \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} (\widehat{\tau y_i}^{(h)\top} - \widehat{\tau b_i}^{(h)\top} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top) - (\widehat{\tau y_i}^{(h)} - \mathbf{Z}_i \widehat{\tau b_i}^{(h)}) \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}_i \\
&\quad - \widehat{\tau y b_i}^{(h)} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top - \mathbf{Z}_i \widehat{\tau y b_i}^{(h)} + \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}_i^\top + \mathbf{Z}_i \widehat{\tau b_i^2}^{(h)} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2. \square

Web Appendix B: Proof of Proposition 3.

From hierarchy (6), dividing the joint PDF of (\mathbf{y}_i, τ_i) by the marginal PDF of \mathbf{y}_i yields

$$\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{2}, \frac{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}}{2}\right),$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i} = (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta})$. Using this fact, we can derive the formulae for $\hat{\tau}_i^{(h)}$ and the distribution of \mathbf{W}_i^c under the following three censoring patterns, say (i) only non-censored, (ii) only censored, and (iii) both censored and non-censored situations.

(i) When the i th subject has only non-censored (observed) measurements, we have $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{y}_i$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] = E[\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] = (\hat{\nu}^{(h)} + n_i) / (\hat{\nu}^{(h)} + \hat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{y}_i}^{(h)}), \\ \widehat{\tau \mathbf{y}_i^{(h)}} &= E[\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] = E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \mathbf{y}_i = \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} \mathbf{y}_i, \\ \widehat{\tau \mathbf{y}_i^2}^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] = E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T = \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) When the i th subject has only censored measurements, the auxiliary permutation matrix \mathbf{O}_i is a null set and $\mathbf{C}_i = \mathbf{I}_{n_i}$. Thus $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{y}_i^c$, and $\mathbf{y}_i \sim \mathcal{T}_{n_i}(\mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta}, \frac{\nu}{\nu+2}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_i, \nu+2; \mathbb{A}_i)$, where $\mathbb{A}_i = \{\mathbf{y}_i = [y_{ijk}] \mid y_{ijk} \leq u_{ijk}, j = 1, \dots, r, k = 1, \dots, s_i\}$. Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)} \right] \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{T}_{n_i}(\mathbf{u}_i | \mathbf{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(h)}, \frac{\hat{\nu}^{(h)}}{\hat{\nu}^{(h)}+2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_i^{(h)}, \hat{\nu}^{(h)} + 2)}{\mathcal{T}_{n_i}(\mathbf{u}_i | \mathbf{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(h)}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_i^{(h)}, \hat{\nu}^{(h)})}, \tag{S.1}\end{aligned}$$

in which the last equality follows from Proposition 2 of Matos et al. (2013) with $k = 0$. Furthermore, by Proposition 2 of Matos et al. (2013) with $k = 1$ and 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\tau \mathbf{y}_i^{(h)}} &= E[\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i] \mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) \mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)} \right] \\ &= \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} E[\mathbf{W}_i^c],\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\tau \mathbf{y}_i^2}^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} [E_{\tau_i} [\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i] \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(h)} \right] \\ &= \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} E[\mathbf{W}_i^c \mathbf{W}_i^{c^T}],\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\tau}_i^{(h)}$ is given by (S.1), and $\mathbf{W}_i^c \sim \mathcal{T}t_{n_i}(\mathbf{X}_i \hat{\beta}^{(h)}, \frac{\hat{\nu}^{(h)}}{\hat{\nu}^{(h)}+2} \hat{\Lambda}_i^{(h)}, \hat{\nu}^{(h)} + 2; \mathbb{A}_i)$ with $\hat{\Lambda}_i^{(h)}$ being Λ_i evaluated at $\theta = \hat{\theta}^{(h)}$.

- (iii) When the i th subject has both censored and non-censored measurements, using the fact that $\{\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i\}$, $\{\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{y}_i^o\}$, and $\{\mathbf{y}_i^c | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{y}_i^o\}$ are equivalent processes, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} &= E[\tau_i | \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\theta}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}[E_{\tau_i}[\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i] | \mathbf{y}_i^o, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\theta}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}\left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}}\right) | \mathbf{y}_i^o, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\theta}^{(h)}\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{\hat{\nu}^{(h)} + n_i^o}{\hat{\nu}^{(h)} + \hat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}^{(h)}}\right) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{n_i - n_i^o}(\mathbf{u}_i^c | \hat{\mu}_i^{c\cdot o^{(h)}}, \frac{\hat{\nu}^{(h)} + n_i^o}{\hat{\nu}^{(h)} + n_i^o + 2} \hat{S}_i^{cc\cdot o^{(h)}}, \hat{\nu}^{(h)} + n_i^o + 2)}{\mathcal{T}_{n_i - n_i^o}(\mathbf{u}_i^c | \hat{\mu}_i^{c\cdot o^{(h)}}, \hat{S}_i^{cc\cdot o^{(h)}}, \hat{\nu}^{(h)} + n_i^o)}, \quad (\text{S.2})\end{aligned}$$

in which the last equality follows from the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{y}_i^c | \mathbf{y}_i^o$ specified in Proposition 1 of this paper and Proposition 3 of Matos et al. (2013) with $k = 0$, where $\hat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}^{(h)} = (\mathbf{y}_i^o - \mathbf{X}_i^o \hat{\beta}^{(h)})^\top \hat{\Lambda}_i^{oo(h)^{-1}} (\mathbf{y}_i^o - \mathbf{X}_i^o \hat{\beta}^{(h)})$ with $\hat{\Lambda}_i^{oo(h)}$ being Λ_i^{oo} evaluated at $\theta = \hat{\theta}^{(h)}$. Under this case, using Proposition 3 of Matos et al. (2013) again with $k = 1$ and 2, the conditional moments involving the latent data τ_i and \mathbf{y}_i^c within $\widehat{\tau \mathbf{y}_i}(h)$ and $\widehat{\tau \mathbf{y}_i^2}(h)$ in Proposition 2 become

$$\begin{aligned}E[\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i^c | \mathbf{y}_i^o, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\theta}^{(h)}] &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}[E_{\tau_i}[\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i^c] \mathbf{y}_i^c | \mathbf{y}_i^o, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\theta}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}\left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}}\right) \mathbf{y}_i^c | \mathbf{y}_i^o, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\theta}^{(h)}\right] \\ &= \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} E[\mathbf{W}_i^c],\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}E[\tau_i \mathbf{y}_i^c \mathbf{y}_i^{c^T} | \mathbf{y}_i^o, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\theta}^{(h)}] &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}[E_{\tau_i}[\tau_i | \mathbf{y}_i] \mathbf{y}_i^c \mathbf{y}_i^{c^T} | \mathbf{y}_i^o, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\theta}^{(h)}] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}\left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i^c}}\right) \mathbf{y}_i^c \mathbf{y}_i^{c^T} | \mathbf{y}_i^o, \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \hat{\theta}^{(h)}\right] \\ &= \hat{\tau}_i^{(h)} E[\mathbf{W}_i^c \mathbf{W}_i^{c^T}],\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\tau}_i^{(h)}$ is given by (S.2), and $\mathbf{W}_i^c \sim \mathcal{T}t_{n_i - n_i^o}(\hat{\mu}_i^{c\cdot o^{(h)}}, \frac{\hat{\nu}^{(h)} + n_i^o}{\hat{\nu}^{(h)} + n_i^o + 2} \hat{S}_i^{cc\cdot o^{(h)}}, \hat{\nu}^{(h)} + n_i^o + 2; \mathbb{A}_i^c)$ with $\hat{\mu}_i^{c\cdot o^{(h)}}$ and $\hat{S}_i^{cc\cdot o^{(h)}}$ being $\mu_i^{c\cdot o}$ and $S_i^{cc\cdot o}$, respectively, evaluated at $\theta = \hat{\theta}^{(h)}$, and \mathbb{A}_i^c representing the left truncated region at $[\mathbf{w}_i^c \leq \mathbf{u}_i^c]$.

The desired quantities have been formulated. \square

Web Appendix C: Proof of the missing information matrix of β .

The missing information matrix of β can be calculated as the conditional variance-covariance matrix of complete-data score vector with respect to β , that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I}_m(\beta; \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Var}\left(\frac{\partial \ell_c(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathcal{D}\text{ata})}{\partial \beta} \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Var}\left(\tau_i \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \text{Var}_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left(E_{\tau_i} \left[\tau_i \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) \middle| \mathbf{y}_i \right] \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left(\text{Var}_{\tau_i} \left[\tau_i \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) \middle| \mathbf{y}_i \right] \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \text{Var}_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left(\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left(\left[\frac{2(\nu + n_i)}{(\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i})^2} \right] \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta)^T \Lambda_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_i \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left(\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta)^T \Lambda_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_i \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] E_{\mathbf{y}_i}^T \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\nu + n_i} \right) \left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta)^T \Lambda_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_i \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left(\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta)^T \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right) \Lambda_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta)^T \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] \Lambda_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{2}{\nu + n_i} \right) \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left(\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta) (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \beta)^T \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right) \Lambda_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_i \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \mathbf{X}_i^T \Lambda_i^{-1} \left[\left(1 + \frac{2}{\nu + n_i} \right) \left(\widehat{\tau^2 \mathbf{y}_i^2} - \widehat{\tau^2 \mathbf{y}_i} \beta^T \mathbf{X}_i^T - \mathbf{X}_i \beta \widehat{\tau^2 \mathbf{y}_i}^T + \widehat{\tau_i^2} \mathbf{X}_i \beta \beta^T \mathbf{X}_i^T \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - (\widehat{\tau \mathbf{y}_i} - \widehat{\tau}_i \mathbf{X}_i \beta) (\widehat{\tau \mathbf{y}_i} - \widehat{\tau}_i \mathbf{X}_i \beta)^T \right] \Lambda_i^{-1} \mathbf{X}_i,
\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\tau}^2 \mathbf{y}_i$ and $\widehat{\tau}_i$ are $\widehat{\tau}^{(h)} \mathbf{y}_i^{(h)}$ and $\widehat{\tau}_i^{(h)}$ given in Propositions 2 and 3, respectively, with $\widehat{\theta}^{(h)}$ replaced by θ ; and the proof of expressions for $\widehat{\tau}_i^{(h)}$, $\widehat{\tau}^2 \mathbf{y}_i^{(h)}$, and $\widehat{\tau}^2 \mathbf{y}_i^{(h)}$ are derived as follows under three censoring situations:

1. If the i th subject has only non-censored measurements, then it is straightforward that

$$\widehat{\tau}_i^2 = \left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2, \quad \widehat{\tau}^2 \mathbf{y}_i = \left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{y}_i, \text{ and } \widehat{\tau}^2 \mathbf{y}_i^2 = \left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T.$$

2. If the i th subject has only censored measurements, then we use the property of Proposition 2 of Matos et al. (2013) to derive the following conditional expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\tau}_i^2 &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] = c_{n_i}(\nu, 2) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{n_i}(\mathbf{u}_i | \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}, \frac{\nu}{\nu+4} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_i, \nu + 4)}{\mathcal{T}_{n_i}(\mathbf{u}_i | \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_i, \nu)}, \\ \widehat{\tau}^2 \mathbf{y}_i &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{y}_i \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] = \widehat{\tau}_i^2 E[\mathbf{W}_i^*], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{\tau}^2 \mathbf{y}^2 = E_{\mathbf{y}_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] = \widehat{\tau}_i^2 E(\mathbf{W}_i^* \mathbf{W}_i^{*\top}),$$

where $\mathbf{W}_i^* \sim \mathcal{T}t_{n_i}(\mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}, \frac{\nu}{\nu+4} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_i, \nu + 4, \mathbb{A}_i)$, and

$$c_{n_i}(\nu, 2) = \left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n_i+\nu}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\nu+4}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n_i+\nu+4}{2})} = \frac{(\nu + n_i)(\nu + 2)}{\nu(n_i + \nu + 2)}.$$

3. If the i th subject has both censored and non-censored measurements, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\tau}_i^2 &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] = E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c} \left\{ E_{\tau_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \middle| \mathbf{y}_i \right] \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right\} \\ &= \frac{d_{n_i}(n_i^o, \nu, 2)}{(\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i^o})^2} \frac{\mathcal{T}_{n_i - n_i^o}(\mathbf{u}_i^c | \boldsymbol{\mu}_i^{c\cdot o}, \frac{\nu+n_i^o}{\nu+n_i^o+4} \mathbf{S}_i^{cc\cdot o}, \nu + n_i^o + 4)}{\mathcal{T}_{n_i - n_i^o}(\mathbf{u}_i^c | \boldsymbol{\mu}_i^{c\cdot o} \mathbf{S}_i^{cc\cdot o}, \nu + n_i^o)}, \\ \widehat{\tau}^2 \mathbf{y}_i &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{y}_i \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] = E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c} \left\{ E_{\tau_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{y}_i \middle| \mathbf{y}_i \right] \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right\} \\ &= \widehat{\tau}_i^2 E[\mathbf{W}_i^{c*}], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\tau}^2 \mathbf{y}_i^2 &= E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right] = E_{\mathbf{y}_i^c} \left\{ E_{\tau_i} \left[\left(\frac{\nu + n_i}{\nu + \Delta_{\mathbf{y}_i}} \right)^2 \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T \middle| \mathbf{y}_i \right] \middle| \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{c}_i \right\} \\ &= \widehat{\tau}_i^2 E[\mathbf{W}_i^{c*} \mathbf{W}_i^{c*\top}], \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{W}_i^{c*} \sim \mathcal{T}t_{n_i - n_i^o}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i^{c\cdot o}, \frac{\nu+n_i^o}{\nu+n_i^o+4} \mathbf{S}_i^{cc\cdot o}, \nu + n_i^o + 4)$, and

$$d_{n_i}(n_i^o, \nu, 2) = (\nu + n_i)^2 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n_i+\nu}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\nu+4}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n_i^o+\nu}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n_i+\nu+4}{2})} = \frac{(n_i + \nu)(n_i^o + \nu + 2)(n_i^o + \nu)}{(n_i + \nu + 2)}.$$

□

Web Appendix D: Supplementary table for the simulation study.

Table S.1. Simulation results for comparisons of four considered models in terms of model selection and parameter estimation under the scenario of $d = 0.50$ and $\varrho = 0.75$ based on 100 replications.

DOF	Censoring	Model	Model Fitting				Estimation of Fixed Effects							
			AIC	(Freq)	BIC	(Freq)	β_{10}	(SE)	β_{11}	(SE)	β_{20}	(SE)	β_{21}	(SE)
$\nu = 5$	5%	Nc	1714.13	(0)	1726.32	(0)	1.259	0.080	2.005	0.001	-1.716	0.061	4.003	5×10^{-4}
		Tc	1620.86	(100)	1634.27	(100)	1.063	0.081	2.002	0.001	-1.879	0.060	3.997	5×10^{-4}
		N	1726.48	(0)	1738.67	(0)	1.282	0.330	1.968	0.038	-1.677	0.281	3.961	0.028
		T	1651.39	(0)	1663.58	(0)	1.197	0.288	1.975	0.032	-1.716	0.252	3.968	0.023
	10%	Nc	1628.79	(0)	1640.98	(1)	1.204	0.073	2.015	0.001	-1.747	0.058	4.010	4×10^{-4}
		Tc	1541.42	(100)	1554.83	(99)	1.016	0.071	2.011	0.001	-1.858	0.056	3.998	4×10^{-4}
		N	1759.11	(0)	1771.30	(0)	1.798	0.316	1.899	0.038	-1.071	0.279	3.878	0.030
		T	1698.21	(0)	1710.40	(0)	1.713	0.289	1.909	0.032	-1.053	0.263	3.884	0.026
	20%	Nc	1491.82	(0)	1504.01	(0)	1.215	0.061	2.011	0.001	-1.793	0.055	4.016	4×10^{-4}
		Tc	1405.51	(100)	1418.92	(100)	1.068	0.062	1.997	0.001	-1.918	0.051	3.998	3×10^{-4}
		N	1843.98	(0)	1856.17	(0)	3.262	0.301	1.708	0.039	0.156	0.292	3.713	0.037
		T	1806.64	(0)	1818.83	(0)	3.208	0.302	1.714	0.036	0.170	0.298	3.718	0.035
$\nu = 50$	5%	N	1486.05	(88)	1498.24	(93)	0.952	0.077	2.005	0.001	-1.993	0.056	3.997	5×10^{-4}
		Tc	1487.57	(12)	1500.98	(7)	0.954	0.077	2.005	0.001	-1.992	0.055	3.997	5×10^{-4}
		N	1516.06	(0)	1528.25	(0)	1.146	0.271	1.979	0.031	-1.770	0.230	3.967	0.022
		T	1514.78	(0)	1526.97	(0)	1.142	0.277	1.979	0.031	-1.766	0.243	3.967	0.022
	10%	Nc	1407.98	(89)	1420.17	(97)	0.868	0.070	2.017	0.001	-2.014	0.054	4.001	4×10^{-4}
		Tc	1409.52	(11)	1422.93	(3)	0.873	0.071	2.016	0.001	-2.012	0.053	4.000	4×10^{-4}
		N	1572.64	(0)	1584.83	(0)	1.650	0.259	1.911	0.031	-1.164	0.231	3.884	0.025
		T	1571.75	(0)	1583.93	(0)	1.652	0.277	1.912	0.030	-1.147	0.254	3.885	0.025
	20%	Nc	1275.91	(93)	1288.10	(98)	0.987	0.058	2.000	0.001	-2.001	0.050	3.999	4×10^{-4}
		Tc	1277.56	(7)	1290.96	(2)	0.989	0.063	2.000	0.001	-2.002	0.050	3.999	3×10^{-4}
		N	1694.51	(0)	1706.70	(0)	3.133	0.252	1.716	0.033	0.090	0.251	3.716	0.033
		T	1694.33	(0)	1706.52	(0)	3.137	0.288	1.717	0.033	0.101	0.287	3.716	0.033

Nc: MLMMC; Tc: MtLMC; N: MLMM; T: MtLMM